good friend and colleague, Senator MIKE DEWINE—to make certain technical and other corrections relating to the Centennial of Flight Commemoration Act, which Congress passed last year. After the bill became law, the Department of Justice and the Office of Government Ethics expressed concerns about some of the bill's provisions, which we are here to correct today. I was pleased that members of the Ohio and North Carolina delegations worked together in a timely manner to address those concerns

As 2003 quickly approaches, I look forward to participating in the commemorative events and celebrations coordinated by the Centennial of Flight Commission. The 2003 celebration will highlight one of history's most remarkable achievements and showcase the impressive growth of the Miami Valley's aerospace industry, which the Wright Brothers pioneered nearly a century ago.

The Wright Brothers of Ohio began this century in flight. The Miami Valley-and indeed the world-will honor their achievement at the dawn of the next century, and look beyond the horizon of history to ask "What if?"

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. BIGGERT). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN) that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 1072.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DUNCAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the Senate bill just passed, as well as on H.R. 717, the National Parks Air Tour Management Act of 1999, and H. Con. Res. 187, Expressing the Sense of Congress Regarding the European Council Rule Noise Affecting Hushkitted and Reengined Aircraft.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Tennessee?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2605, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOP-MENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT. 2000

Mr. YOUNG of Florida submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes:

Conference Report (H. Rept. 106-336)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as fol-

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLFIDEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction. \$161.994.000. to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use the remaining unobligated funds appropriated in Public Law 102-377 for the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, project for the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, study.

CONSTRUCTION. GENERAL.

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,400,722,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104-303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99-662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; London Locks and Dam; Kanawha River, West Virginia; and Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$8,000,000

Harlan/Clover Fork including grading and landscaping of the disposal site at the Harlan floodwall, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County, and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the

Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River

Project in Kentucky, \$14,050,000;
Jackson County, Mississippi, \$800,000;
Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$2,000,000;
Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New

Jersey, \$6,000,000; and Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit),

Wayne County, and McDowell County, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, \$4,400,000:

Provided, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be expended or obligated to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use \$1,500,000 of funding appropriated herein to initiate construction of shoreline protection measures at Assateague Island, Maryland, subject to execution of an agreement for reimbursement by the National Park Service: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use Construction, General funding as directed in Public Law 105-62 and Public Law 105-245 to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River, except that the funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the . United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448; TS 548) (commonly known as "Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909"): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102-377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake. FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBU-TARIES ARKANSAS ILLINOIS KENTLICKY LOU-ISIANA. MISSISSIPPI. MISSOURI. AND TENNESSEE

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g-1), \$309,416,000, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing

river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and reofobstructions to navigation, \$1,853,618,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 4601), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$117,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$5,000,000 of funds appropriated herein to fully implement an administrative appeals process for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, which administrative appeals process shall provide for a singlelevel appeal of jurisdictional determinations: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall, using funds provided herein, prepare studies and analyses of the impacts on Regulatory Branch workload and on cost of compliance by the regulated community of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 under section 404 of the Clean Water Act and shall submit a report based upon the aforementioned studies and analyses to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate. the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee of the House, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended.

GENERAL EXPENSES

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Water Resources Support Center, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, \$149,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no fully allocated funding policy shall be applied to projects for which funds are identified in the Committee reports accompanying this Act under the Construction, General; Operation and Maintenance, General; and Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, appropriation accounts: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake these projects using continuing contracts, as authorized in section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of September 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621).

SEC. 102. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64-291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68-585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75-208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90-483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended (Public Law 99-662); section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102-580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104-303, and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimbursements per project not to exceed \$10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed \$50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 103. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the spring-time water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, and for activities related to the Uintah and Upalco Units authorized by 43 U.S.C. 620, \$38,049,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$15,476,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, \$5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and \$10,476,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, \$1,321,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian Tribes, and others, \$607,927,000,

to remain available until expended, of which \$2,247,000 shall be available for transfer to the Colorado River Basin Fund \$24,089,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund, and of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l-6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further. That section 301 of Public Law 102-250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended by Public Law 104-206, is amended further by inserting "1999, and 2000" in lieu of "and 1997": Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by section 10 of Public Law 89-108, as amended by section 8 of Public Law 99-294, section 1701(b) of Public Law 102-575, and Public Law 105-245, is increased by \$1,000,000 (October 1998 prices).

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$12,000,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a-422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$43,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, \$42,000,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and other participating Federal agencies in carrying out ecosystem restoration activities pursuant to the California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement Act and other activities that are in accord with the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, including projects to improve water use efficiency, water quality, groundwater and surface storage, levees, conveyance, and watershed management, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with such Federal agencies, \$60,000,000, to remain available until

expended, of which \$30,000,000 shall be used for ecosystem restoration activities and \$30,000,000 shall be used for such other activities, and of which such amounts as may be necessary to conform with such plans shall be transferred to appropriate accounts of such Federal agencies: Provided, That no more than \$5,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein may be used for planning and management activities associated with developing the overall CALFED Bay-Delta Program and coordinating its staged implementation: Provided further, That funds for ecosystem restoration activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in accordance with the cost-sharing agreement required under section 1101(d) of such Act, and that funds for such other activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in a manner consistent with such costsharing agreement: Provided further, That such funds may be obligated prior to the completion of a final programmatic environmental impact statement only if: (1) consistent with 40 CFR 1506.1(c); and (2) used for purposes that the Secretary finds are of sufficiently high priority to warrant such an expenditure.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$47,000,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

SEC. 202. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase: Provided, That such purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

TITLE III DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed one passenger motor vehicle for replacement only, \$644,937,953, of which \$820,953 shall be derived by transfer from the Geothermal Resources Development Fund, and of which \$5,000,000 shall be derived by transfer from the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, \$333,618,000, to remain available until expended.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, \$250,198,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$30,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed six passenger replacement vehicles for motor only. \$2,799,851,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$240,500,000 to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed \$500,000 may be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, (Public Law 97-425) as amended: Provided further, That not to exceed \$5,432,000 may be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97-425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds shall be made available to the State and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the State and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy, that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities as defined in Public Law 97-425. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-state efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000, \$206,365,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same

or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$106,887,000 in fiscal year 2000 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95-238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$99,478,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$29,500,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seg.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed three for replacement only), \$4,443,939,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense shall be provided by the Department of Defense according to procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of 35 passenger vehicles for replacement motor \$4,484,349,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That any amounts appropriated under this heading that are used to provide economic assistance under section 15 of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act (Public Law 102-579) shall be utilized to the extent necessary to reimburse costs of financial assurances required of a contractor by any permit or license of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant issued by the State of New Mexico.

Defense Facilities Closure Projects

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, \$1,064,492,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), \$189,000,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$1,722,444,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed \$5,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses for transparency, national security and nonproliferation activities

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97-425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$112,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93-454, are approved for the Northeast Oregon Hatchery Master Plan, and for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.

During fiscal year 2000, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$11,594,000; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$28,000,000 in reimbursements for transmission wheeling and ancillary services and for power purchases, to remain available until expended.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, \$28,773,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$773,000 shall be derived by transfer from unobligated balances in "Operation and Maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration"; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$4.200.000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$193,357,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$182,172,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$5,036,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Fal-

con and Amistad Dams, \$1,309,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3.000). \$174.950.000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$174,950,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further. That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-bycase basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy,

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the \$24,500,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 305. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 306. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 307. Notwithstanding 41 U.S.C. 254c(a), the Secretary of Energy may use funds appropriated by this Act to enter into or continue multi-year contracts for the acquisition of property or services under the head, "Energy Supply" without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. The Secretary of Energy may pay costs of termination or cancellation from—

(1) appropriations originally available for the performance of the contract concerned;

(2) appropriations currently available for procurement of the type of property or services concerned, and not otherwise obligated; or

(3) funds appropriated for those payments. SEC. 308. Of the funds in this Act provided to government-owned, contractor-operated laboratories, not to exceed four percent shall be available to be used for Laboratory Directed Research and Development: Provided, That none of the funds in the Environmental Management programs are available for Laboratory Directed Research and Development.

SEC. 309. (a) Of the funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy, not more than \$150,000,000 shall be available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses.

(b) Funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy may be used to reimburse a Department of Energy management and operating contractor for travel costs of its employees under the contract only to the extent that the contractor applies to its employees the same rates and amounts as those that apply to Federal employees under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, or rates and amounts established by the Secretary of Energy. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to the reimbursement requirements of this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 310. (a) None of the funds in this Act or any future Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be expended after December 31 of each year under a covered contract unless the funds are expended in accordance with a Laboratory Funding Plan that has been approved by the Secretary of Energy. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall issue directions to the laboratories for the programs, projects, and activities to be conducted in that fiscal year. The Secretary and the Laboratories shall devise a Laboratory Funding Plan that identifies the resources needed to carry out these programs, projects, and activities. Funds shall be released to the Laboratories only after the Secretary has approved the Laboratory Funding Plan. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) For purposes of this section, "covered contract" means a contract for the management and operation of the following laboratories: Argonne National Laboratory, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Sandia National Laboratory, and Sandia National Laboratories.

SEC. 311. As part of the Department of Energy's approval of laboratory funding for prime contractors responsible for management of Department of Energy sites and facilities, the Secretary shall review and approve the incentive structure for contractor fees, the amounts of

award fees to be made available for next year, the allowable salaries of first and second tier laboratory management, and the overhead expenditures. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 312. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to establish or maintain independent centers at a Department of Energy laboratory or facility unless such funds have been specifically identified in the budget submission.

SEC. 313. None of the funds made available in this or any other Act may be used to restart the

High Flux Beam Reactor. SEC. 314. No funds are provided in this Act or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 315. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of the enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date.

SEC. 316. LIMITING THE INCLUSION OF COSTS OF PROTECTION OF, MITIGATION OF DAMAGE TO, AND ENHANCEMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE, WITHIN RATES CHARGED BY THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION, TO THE RATE PERIOD IN WHICH THE COSTS ARE INCURRED. Section 7 of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839e) is amended by adding at the end the following:

(n) LIMITING THE INCLUSION OF COSTS OF PROTECTION OF, MITIGATION OF DAMAGE TO, AND ENHANCEMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE, WITHIN RATES CHARGED BY THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION, TO THE RATE PERIOD IN WHICH THE COSTS ARE INCURRED. - Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, rates established by the Administrator, under this section shall recover costs for protection, mitigation and enhancement of fish and wildlife, whether under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act or any other Act, not to exceed such amounts the Administrator forecasts will be expended during the fiscal year 2002-2006 rate period, while preserving the Administrator's ability to establish appropriate reserves and maintain a high Treasury payment probability for the subsequent rate period."

TITLE IV INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$66,400,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100-456, section 1441, \$17,000,000, to remain available until expended.

DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, \$20,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed \$15,000), \$465,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$19,150,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$442,000,000 in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That \$3,850,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy and other Federal agencies shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not \$23,000,000.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not more than \$0.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by section 5051 of Public Law 100-203, \$2,600,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The Tennessee Valley Authority is directed to use up to \$3,000,000 from previously appropriated funds to pay any necessary transition costs for Land Between the Lakes.

TITLE V—RESCISSIONS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105-245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Calleguas Creek, California, \$271,100;

San Joaquin, Caliente Creek, California, \$155,400;

Buffalo Small Boat Harbor, New York, \$15.100:

City of Buffalo, New York, \$4,000;

Geneva State Park, Ohio Shoreline Protection,

ri,000, Clinton River Spillway, Michigan, \$50,000; Lackawanna River Basin Greenway Corridor,

Pennsylvania, \$217,900; and Red River Waterway, Index, Arkansas, to

Denison Dam, Texas, \$125,000.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105-245, and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Sacramento River Flood Control Project, California (Deficiency Correction), \$1,500,000;

Melaleuca Quarantine Facility, Florida, \$295,000:

Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$3,484,000; Anacostia River (Section 1135), Maryland,

\$1,534,000; Sowashee Creek, Meridian, Mississippi,

Sowashee Creek, Meridian, Mississippi \$2,537,000;

Platte River Flood and Streambank Erosion Control, Nebraska, \$1,409,000;

Rochester Harbor, New York, \$1,842,000;

Columbia River, Seafarers Museum, Hammond, Oregon, \$98,000; and

Quonset Point, Davisville, Rhode Island, \$120.000.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105-245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, \$3,000,000, are rescinded.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under the heading "Department of Energy—Energy Programs—Ruclear Waste Disposal Fund" in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998 (Public Law 105-62), \$4,000,000 is rescinded, to be derived from the amount specified under such heading for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to license a multi-purpose canister design.

TITLE VI—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABELING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 603. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the

"SJVDP-Alternative Repayment Plan" scribed in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and . San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drain age studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. Section 6101(a)(3) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 2214(a)(3)) is amended by striking "September 30, 1999" and inserting "September

30. 2000'

SEC. 605. Title VI, division C, of Public Law 105-277, Making Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1999, is repealed.

SEC. 606. Section 211(e)(2)(A) of the Water Re-

sources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-303, 110 Stat. 3682) is amended by striking

"in advance in appropriations Acts"

SEC. 607. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be used to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementation, or in preparation for implementation, of the Kyoto Protocol which was adopted on December 11, 1997, in Kyoto, Japan at the Third Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which has not been submitted to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification pursuant to article II, section 2, clause 2, of the United States Constitution, and which has not entered into force pursuant to article 25 of the Protocol.

SEC. 608. UNITED STATES ENRICHMENT COR-PORATION FUND. (a) WITHDRAWALS.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1 of Public Law 105-204 (112 Stat. 681) are amended by striking "fiscal year 2000" and inserting "fiscal year

(b) INVESTMENT OF AMOUNTS IN THE USEC FUND.

- (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest such portion of the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund as is not, in the judgment of the Secretary, required to meet current withdrawals. Investments may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States.
- (2) ACQUISITION OF OBLIGATIONS.—For the purpose of investments under paragraph (1), obligations may be acquired—
- (A) on original issue at the issue price; or (B) by purchase of outstanding obligations at the market price.

(3) SALE OF OBLIGATIONS.—Any obligation acquired by the Fund may be sold by the Secretary

of the Treasury at the market price. (4) CREDITS TO FUND.—The interest on, and the proceeds from the sale or redemption of, any obligations held in the Fund shall be credited to

and form a part of the Fund.

SEC. 609. LAKE CASCADE. (a) DESIGNATION.— The reservoir commonly known as the "Cascade Reservoir'', created as a result of the building of the Cascade Dam authorized by the matter under the heading "BUREAU OF RECLAMATION" of the fifth section of the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1942 (55 Stat. 334, chapter 259) for the Boise Project, Idaho, Payette division, is redesignated as "Lake Cascade"

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, record, map, or other paper of the United States to "Cascade Reservoir'' shall be considered to be a reference to

"Lake Cascade"

SEC. 610. Section 4(h)(10)(D) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839b(h)(10)(D)) is amended by striking clauses (vii) and (viii) and inserting the following:

'(vii) COST LIMITATION.—The annual cost of

this provision shall not exceed \$500,000 in 1997 dollars.''.

SEC. 611. (a) The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, in carrying out the program known as the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program, shall undertake the following functions and activities to be performed at eligible sites where remediation has not been completed:

- (1) Sampling and assessment of contaminated
- (2) Characterization of site conditions.
- (3) Determination of the nature and extent of
- (4) Selection of the necessary and appropriate response actions as the lead Federal agency.

(5) Cleanup and closeout of sites.

(6) Any other functions and activities determined by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, as necessary for carrying out that program, including the acquisition of real estate interests where necessary, which may be transferred upon completion of remediation to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Energy.

(b) Any response action under that program by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) (in this section referred to as "CERCLA"), and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (40 CFR part 300).

(c) Any sums recovered under CERCLA or other authority from a liable party, contractor, insurer, surety, or other person for any expenditures by the Army Corps of Engineers or the Department of Energy for response actions under that program shall be credited to the amounts made available to carry out that program and shall be available until expended for costs of response actions for any eligible site.

(d) The Secretary of Energy may exercise the authority under section 168 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2208) to make payments in lieu of taxes for federally owned property at which activities under that program are carried out, regardless of which Federal agency has administrative jurisdiction over the property and notwithstanding any reference to "the activities of the Commission" in that section.

(e) This section does not alter, curtail, or limit the authorities, functions, or responsibilities of other agencies under CERCLA or, except as stated in this section, under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.).

(f) This section shall apply to fiscal year 2000 and each succeeding fiscal year.

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2000".

And the Senate agree to the same.

RON PACKARD, HAROLD ROGERS, JOE KNOLLENBERG, RODNEY P FRELINGHUYSEN, SONNY CALLAHAN, TOM LATHAM, ROY BLUNT. BILL YOUNG, PETER VISCLOSKY, CHET EDWARDS, ED PASTOR, MIKE FORBES, DAVE OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI, THAD COCHRAN, SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, LARRY E. CRAIG, TED STEVENS. HARRY REID. ROBERT C. BYRD, ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, PATTY MURRAY,

HERB KOHL, BYRON L. DORGAN, DANIEL INOUYE,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 106-253 and Senate Report 106-58 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$161,994,000 for General Investigations instead of \$158,993,000 as proposed by the House and \$125,459,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Pima County, Arizona, project. To the extent appropriate, the study is to proceed with particular reference to recommendations and findings included in the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan, Pima County, Arizona, dated October 21, 1998.

The conference agreement includes \$780,000 for the Metro Atlanta Watershed, Georgia, project. The additional funds have been included for investigations of flood damage prevention along: Utoy, Sandy and Proctor Creeks; Long Island, Marsh and Johns Creek; and Indian, Sugar, Intrenchment and Federal Prison Creeks Watershed.

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 for an interim feasibility study of the LaQuinta Channel, Texas, to be accomplished separately from the Corpus Christi Ship Channel study. The study will investigate potential extension of the existing project.

Of the amount provided for Other Coordination Programs, \$100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to provide assistance and support of the preservation and revitalization plans associated with the Wheeling, West Virginia National Heritage Area. These funds will allow the Corps to objectively analyze the planned and ongoing design and construction work connected with these restoration efforts. The conferees direct the Corps to conduct an analysis of the sedimentation buildup behind Santa Cruz Dam in New Mexico. In undertaking this work, the Corps is to prepare a report: describing the nature of the problem and possible solutions; discussing the economic viability and estimated cost of potential solutions; and identifying existing authorities pursuant to which the Corps could undertake corrective measures or describing the need for additional legislative authority that may be required to accomplish the work.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to use previously appropriated funds to continue the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating funds for a study of the Yellowstone River at Glendive, Montana. Funds for this project have been included in the Section 205 program of the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsend's Inlet, New Jersey, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$226,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for a project for flood control at Park River, Grafton, North Dakota. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$50,000 for a general reevaluation report to determine whether the project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable and economically justified.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Hunting Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$328,000 for this project element.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,400,722,000 for Construction, General instead of \$1,412,591,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,086,586,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of previous efforts by the Corps of Engineers to address sedimentation and other water resource issues along the Dog River in Alabama. The conferees direct the Corps to continue these and other efforts, using available funds, to the extent authorized by law.

The conferees are fully supportive of the San Timoteo feature of the Santa Ana River Mainstem, California, project and expect the Corps to commit funds required to maintain the most efficient construction schedule for this feature's completion.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost the cost of any work performed by non-Federal interests on the Panama City Beaches, Florida, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees direct that the value of flowage easements acquired in the East Reach Remediation Area of the Little Calumet River, Indiana, project, be credited toward the non-Federal share of the project cost, to the extent the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, determines that the acquisition of the easements is compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees concur with the House direction on mitigation associated with the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock, Louisiana, project. The conferees note the significant differences in the estimates of the fair market value of property to be transferred to the Corps of Engineers by the local sponsor, and expect the Corps to work in good faith to arrive at an equitable solution to this issue in accordance with current law.

The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to expedite, to the fullest extent possible, the completion of the Post Authorization Change for the Larose to Golden Meadow (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, project.

The conferees are aware that the Corps of Engineers has determined, pursuant to the requirements of Section 533(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, that additional work to be carried out on the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to continue work on this project in fiscal year 2000 using continuing contracts as provided for in the Act.

Of the funds available for the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project, such sums as are necessary shall be used to plan and construct barge lanes immediately adjacent to either side of the Houston Ship Channel from Bolivar Roads to Morgan Point.

The Corps of Engineers is directed, using the latest hydrology data available, to maintain in fiscal year 2000 an appropriate level of protection at Longview, Kelso, Lexington, and Castle Rock, Washington, that is not less than that: described in the October 1985 Decision Document (the basis for the project cost sharing agreement with the non-Federal sponsors); authorized in Public Law 99–88; or recommended pursuant to the Mount St. Helens Sediment Control Study, Washington.

The conference agreement includes \$25,150,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. Project elements are funded at the levels specified in the House and Senate reports. \$700,000 is included for a Detailed Project Report for the Dickenson County, Virginia, element.

The conference agreement includes \$6,260,000 for the Section 206 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 206 program, \$100,000 is for the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall allow credit toward the costs of the Koontz Lake, Indiana, project for the design and implementation of aquatic ecosystem measures by the non-Federal sponsor accomplished prior to the execution of the project cooperation agreement, to the extent the Secretary determines such work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority

The conference agreement includes \$6,500,000 for the Section 14 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes \$35,800,000 as proposed by the House for the Section 205 program. Using those funds, the

Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 205 program, \$100,000 is for the City of Augusta, Kansas, project.

The conference agreement includes \$7,500,000 for the Section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$8,000,000; Pike Harlan/Clover Fork, County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, \$14,050,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, \$800,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$2,000,000: Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, \$6,000,000; Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, \$4,400,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate permitting the Corps of Engineers to use \$1,500,000 for the Assateague Island, Maryland, project, amended to subject the expenditure to reimbursement by the National Park Service.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate subjecting the expenditure of previously appropriated funds on the Devils Lake, North Dakota, project to a number of conditions.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for: the Norco Bluffs, California, project; the Brevard County, project; the Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, Florida, project; the St. John's County, Florida, project; the Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, project; the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project; the Rochester Harbor, New York, project; the Brays Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project; the Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), project; and the Dickenson County, Virginia, element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes funding for these projects as detailed elsewhere in the statement of managers.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, ILLINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TENNESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$309,416,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of \$313,324,000 as proposed by the House and \$315,630,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of the difficulties the Corps of Engineers is having in finalizing a project cost sharing agreement (PCA) for the Grand Prairie Region Project in Arkansas. Given these difficulties, the conferees

have not included additional funding for the project in FY 2000. This action is taken without prejudice and in recognition that the Corps has previously appropriated funds available for its use in fiscal year 2000. If the issues delaying finalization of the PCA are resolved in FY 2000, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to use its reprogramming authority to resume construction.

Of the amount provided for construction of the Mississippi River Levees, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee, project, up to an additional \$2,000,000 is for construction of the Commerce to Birds Point, Missouri, grade raise.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to permit credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost for any work performed by non-Federal interests on the Louisiana State Penitentiary Levee, Louisiana, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees note that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, did not conduct necessary dredging and environmental assessment and impact studies for the initial components of the Sardis Lake development at Shady Cove, Mississippi, in accordance with the specific provisions relating to this project under Title I of P.L. 105-62. The conferees direct the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, to take all actions necessary to complete such work as required by P.L. 105-62.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,853,618,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of \$1,888,481,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,790,043,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the Corps of Engineers to use available funds to upgrade and maintain the water monitoring gages in the Alabama, Coosa, and Tallapoosa (ACT) Rivers, and Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint (ACF) Rivers in Alabama, Florida, and Georgia for the purpose of accurately monitoring water flows. The purpose of these water-monitoring gages is to accurately monitor water flow in the rivers and to use the data in the negotiations and implementation of the Congressionally authorized ACT/ACF Water Compacts.

The Corps of Engineers is directed to complete safety related dredging in the vicinity of shoals number one and number two in the lower end of the dredging area of the Chena

River, Alaska, project.

conference agreement includes \$3,200,000 for maintenance dredging of the St. Petersburg, Florida, project. These funds are to be used to dredge to sponsor-constructed depths and to dispose of spoil material on Egmont Key, consistent with existing authorities.

Of the amount provided for the Mississippi River Between Missouri River and Minneapolis, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, project, \$6,000,000 is for urgent bank stabilization work along the Sny Island Levee system.

The conferees are very concerned about safety problems resulting from the use of outdated hydrographic surveys in coordination with the Lower Mississippi River Vessel Trafficking System for the Mississippi River, Baton Rouge to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, project. Therefore, the Secretary, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall expedite updated hydrographic survey of the portion of the Lower Mississippi River coordinated by a Vessel Trafficking System.

The conferees understand that the Corps of Engineers recently released a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed placement of eighteen million cubic yards of dredged material in an open water site, known as Site 104, located just northeast of the William Preston Lane, Jr. Memorial Bridge (the Chesapeake Bay Bridge) in Mary-The conferees are concerned about the potential approval of this site and impose upon the Corps an obligation to thoroughly analyze and review all practicable alternatives. In reviewing the alternatives, the Corps should conduct an exhaustive analysis of each site to include how re-suspension of sediments will affect nutrient loading and whether there is a resident population of shortnose sturgeon that would be impacted by the proposed placement of dredged mate-

Within available funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to complete an environmental assessment, prepare plans and specifications, and coordinate with State and Federal agencies for the purpose of proceeding with maintenance dredging of the Little River Harbor, New Hampshire, project, and to proceed if determined to be in the Federal interest.

Of the amount provided for the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project, \$50,000 is for continued mosquito control activities.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House permitting the use of funds from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds for land acquisition in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$1,500,000 for the development of technologies for control of zebra mussels and other aquatic nuisance species in and around public facilities. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes \$1,000,000 for the zebra mussel control program of the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes \$100,000 for the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to study the economic justification and environmental acceptability of maintaining the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project, in accordance with section 509(a) of Public Law 104-303.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing that the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use not to exceed \$300,000 for expenses associated with the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial. The Corps of Engineers is directed to use available funds, not to exceed \$300,000, for expenses associated with national coordination of the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$117,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the House instead of \$115.000.000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to review the need to revise Section 404(b)(1) guidelines to recognize existing land uses and the prior investments made on farmed wetland.

The conferees have provided \$5,000,000 to fully implement an administrative appeals

process for the Regulatory Program of the Corps of Engineers. This process shall provide for a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations, the results of which shall be considered final agency action under the Administrative Procedures Act. This language is not intended to create a new cause of action or legal mechanism that would result in additional litigation.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House providing that the results of a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations shall be considered final agency action under the Administrative Procedures Act.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House requiring the Corps of Engineers to prepare a report regarding the impacts of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 on Regulatory Branch workload and compliance costs, amended to delete language requiring that the report be submitted to certain committees of Congress before the Secretary of the Army may adopt replacement permits or terminate the current nationwide permit 26, and amended to delete a deadline of December 30, 1999, for submission of the report to certain committees of Congress.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$150,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) as proposed by the House and the Senate. and makes the funds available until expended as proposed by the Senate. The remaining statutory provisions proposed by the Senate are contained in section 611 of the bill.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$149,500,000 for General Expenses instead of \$148,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$151,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support more than one regional office in each division.

REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate authorizing the use of amounts available in the Revolving Fund to renovate office space in the General Accounting Office (GAO) headquarters building in Washington, D.C. for use by the Corps of Engineers and the GAO.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to undertake work funded in the conference agreement using continuing contracts and providing that no fully allocated funding policy shall apply to projects for which funds are provided in the conference agreement.

SEC. 102. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting funding of credits and reimbursements to \$10,000,000 per project per fiscal year and a total of \$50,000,000 per year for all applicable projects, amended to delete a limitation of reimbursements and credits to a

single agreement per project.

103. The conference agreement in-SEC. cludes language proposed by the Senate providing that none of the funds made available in the conference agreement may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if such revision provides for an

H8685

increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in states that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement de-

letes language proposed by the Senate directing continued funding of wildlife habitat mitigation work for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and State of South Dakota, and earmarking \$3,000,000 to fund activities authorized under

the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes \$1,500,000 for these activi-

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECT TITLE	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS BUDGET REQUEST		11:43 9/24/99 PAGE 1
	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
ALABAMA ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL BALDWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL BAYOU LA BATRE, AL BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL BREWTON AND EAST BREWTON, AL DOG RIVER, AL PERDIDO KEY BEACHES, AL PERDIDO KEY BEACHES, AL ALASKA	150 100 170 170 350 150	150 100 100 170 100 350 100 250	
AKUTAN HARBOR, AK	150 100 100 100 115 220 140 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	150 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	75
AMERICAN SAMOA WESTERN DISTRICT HARBOR, AS	125	126	
ARIZONA COLONIAS ALONG U.S MEXICO BORDER, AZ & TX. GILA RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DRAINAGE AREA, AZ. GILA RIVER, SANTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN, AZ. LITTLE COLONADO RIVER, AZ. PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RILLITO SALADO, PHOENIX REACH, AZ.	200 342 200 50 50 250 250 1, 545	600 342 200 100 100 250 263	1, 546

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	Ω	11:43 9/24/99 PAGE 2
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE IG INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ANNING
RIO SALADO, TEMPE REACH, AZ. SANTA CRUZ RIVER, AZ. SANTA CRUZ RIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ. TRES RIOS, AZ. TRES RIOS, AZ. TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ.	200 200 486 50	250 300 4 4 8 6 1	233 50 420
ARKANSAS RIVER (NAVIGATION STUDY), FORT SMITH, AR MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR	100 200 200 200 307	1,000	307
ALISO CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA ARROYO PASAJERO, CA ARROYO PASAJERO, CA CITY OF SAN BERDARADINO, CA. CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO, CA. COAST OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CA HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA HAMILTON BEACH, BLUFFTOP PARK, CA. LAGAS CREEK, CA. MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA. MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA. MARINA DEL REY AND BALLONA CREEK, CA. MATILLJA DAM, CA. MATILLJA DAM, CA. MOGNEO BAY CSTUARY, CA. MUGU LAGOON, CA. N CA STREAMS, CACHE CREEK, CA. N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO RVR RIPARIAN REVEGETATI N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO, CA. N CA STREAMS, LOWER SACRAMENTO, CA. N CA STREAMS, MIDDLE CREEK, CA. N CA STREAMS, M	161 200 200 200 150 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	151 150000 100	5,000 5000 6000

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	IGATIONS		=	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE	4
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLAN	UEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING)E PLANNING		
WHITE RIVER, POSO AND DEER CREEKS, CAYUBA RIVER BASIN, CA	09	150	500	900		
COLORADO						
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	340	-	340	1		
CONNECTICUT						
COASTAL CONNECTICUT ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT	200	!!	150	1		
DELAWARE						
C&D CANAL, BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEPE DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, DE & NJ		500	79	500 1,075 349		
FLORIDA						
BISCAYNE BAY, FL. HILLSBOROUGH RIVER BASIN, FL LAKE WORTH INLET, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL MILE POINT, JACKSONVILLE, FL. PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL. ST LUCIE INLET, FL. TAMPA HARBOR, ALAFIA CHANNEL, FL. WITHLACOOCHEE RIVER BASIN, FL.	400	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	176		
GEORGIA						
ALLATOONA LAKE (ETOWAH RIVER), GA. ALLATOONA LAKE (LITTLE RIVER), GA. AUGUSTA, GA. BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA. INDIAN, SUGAR, INTRENCHMENT AND FEDERAL PRISON CREEKS METRO ATLANTA WATERSHED, GA. NEW SAVANNAH BLUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA & SC. SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPANSION, GA. SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA & SC.	189 189 189 144 500	469	325 200 189 189 780 744 500	100		
HAWAII						
ALA WAI CANAL, OAHU, HI	40 225 125 126	38111	40 225 125 100	380		

R&GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	sno		11:49	9/24/99 PAGE	w
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING		CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ANNING		
ІВАНО						
BOISE RIVER, ID			666			
ILLINOIS						
ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL DES PLAINES RIVER, IL ILLINOIS BEACH, IL ILLINOIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, IL KANKAKE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN PEORIA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL ROCK RIVER, IL & WI. UPPER MISSI SYP FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	2, 100 350 350 300 300 5, 700 6, 700	375 247 247 267 277 277 277 475	2, 100 13, 000 2, 100 13, 000	375 247 247 460		
INDIANA						
HAMMOND, IN INDIANA HARBOR, IN JOHN T MYERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY MISSISSINEWA RIVER, MARION, IN. MUNCIE, WHITE RIVER, IN. ST. JOSEPH RIVER AND SPY RUN CREEK, IN.		00	55 555	00		
IOWA						
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA	90		300			
KANSAS						
TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	211	266	211	400		
KENTUCKY						
AUGUSTA, KY BANKLICK CREEK, KENTON COUNTY, KY GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS NAVIGATION DISPOSITION, KY GREENUP, KY LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY	150 70 150 150 304 7,157		150 100 200 250 250 100 100 7,157			

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 6
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	SNI
RUSSELL, KY	!	200	-
LOUISIANA			
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LA. CALCASIEU LOCK, LA. CALCASIEU RIVER BASIN, LA. CAMERON LOOP, CALCASIEU PASS, LA. EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH LA. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA. LOLISIANA COASTAL AREA, LA. COUISIANA COASTAL AREA, LA. ST. BERNARD PARISH, LA. ST. BERNARD PARISH, LA. WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA.	691 300 200 200 416 600 225 500	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	134
MARYLAND			
ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, MANACOSTIA RIVER, NORTHWEST BRANCH, MD & DC. ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC. BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, MD. BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, GWYNNS FALLS, MD. COWER POTOMAC ESTUARY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD. PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD.	700 600 600 100 150 150 156	700 600 1000 1500 1500 1500	100 100 111 100 111 100 111 100 111 111
MASSACHUSETTS			
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	300	300	11
MICHIGAN			
DETROIT RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, MI KALAMAZOO, MI MUSKEGON LAKE, MI SAULT STE MARIE, MI		888 8	200
MINNESOTA			
UPPER MISSISSIPPI R FROM LAKE ITASCA TO LOCK DAM 2, MN	-	100	1

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	Ø	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 7
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE	NNING
MISSOURI			
BALLWIN, ST LOUIS COUNTY, MO	150 377	150	377
CHESTERFIELD, MO	200		1 13
KANSAS CITY, MO & KS		315 275	325
RIVER DES PERES, MO	265	1 2 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	50 322
NEBRASKA			
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NEANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	72 153 350	72 72 508	153
NEVADA			
CARSON RIVER, NV	16 16 100 103 87 87 550	500 500 87	009
NEW JERSEY			
ARTHUR KILL EXTENSION TO PERTH AMBOY, NJ & NY. BARNEGAT BAY, NJTILE EGG INLET, NJ. BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ. BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ. GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NJ. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS TO CAPE MAY POINT, NJ. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS TO CAPE MAY POINT, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. SHEWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ. STONY BROOK, NJ. STONY BROOK, NJ. STONY BROOK, NJ. CAPE MAY INLET, NJ. TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ. TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ.	200	22 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	200 200 178 178
UPPER ROCKAMAY RIVER, MORRIS COUNTY, NJ	100	7 100 100	

R&GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	0	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 8
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NING
	50 50 250 	50 200 50 250 150	
ANTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY AUSABALE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY BOODUET RIVER BASIN, NY ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTY, NY CHEMULOR RIVER BASIN, NY CHEMULOR RIVER BASIN, NY CHEMULOR RIVER BASIN, NY CLINTON COUNTY, NY ELLICOTT CREEK, NY CLINTON COUNTY, NY ELLICOTT CREEK, NY CHOUSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY CHOUSON RIVER AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY CHONDRIAL RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NY CHONDRAGA LAKE, NY CHONG ISLAND, NY CHONDRAGA LAKE, NY CHONG ISLAND, NY CHONDRAGA LAKE, NY CHONDRAGA LAKE, NY CHONG ISLAND, NY CHONDRAGA LEAKE, NY CHONDRAGA LEAKER DAM AND RESERVOIR, NY CHONDRAGA REVER DAM AND RESERVOIR, NY CHONDRAGA LEAKER DAM AND RESERVOIR.	1000 1000	÷ 2	2, 312 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
NEW RIVER BASIN, NC, VA & WV. TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, EASTERN BAND CHEROKEE NATIO			

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	s – GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	গ্ৰ	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 9
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE IG INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	LANNING
TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, FRANKLIN, MACON COUNTY, NC.	199	100	1
DEVILS LAKE, ND	300	300	
OHIO			
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH. BERLIN LAKE, OH. COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH. COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN AREA, OH. HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, MONDAY CREEK, OH. HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH. MAUMEE RIVER, OH. MICHAEL J. KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH. MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, OH. MUSKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH. RICHLAND COUNTY, OH.	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		000
OKLAHOMA			
CIMARRON RIVER BASIN, OK & KSSOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA, OKWARR ACRES AND BETHANY, OK		0000	111
OREGON			
COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA COLUMBIA SLOUGH, OR	200 200 90 90 291 300	1 129 900	892
PENNSYLVANIA			
BLOOMSBURG, PA	184 191 223 255	300	
PUERTO RICO			
RIO GUANAJIBO, PRRIO NIGUA AT SALINAS, PR	463		403 463

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS -	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	ø,	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 10
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ANNING
RHODE ISLAND			
RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RIRHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST & SRTM DMG REDU	177	177 157	
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ATLANTIC INTRACDASTAL WATERWAY, SC	150 150 150 150 182	400 150 150 182	
SOUTH DAKOTA			
JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	100	100	36
TENNESSEE			
DUCK RIVER WATERSHED, TNNOLICHUCKY WATERSHED, TNNORTH CHICKAMAUGA CREEK, TN	394 200 288	100 200 288	111
TEXAS			
BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX. BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, LAQUINTA CHANNEL, TX. CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX. DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TX. GIWW, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, TX. GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX. GIWW, PORT O'CONNOR TO CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TX. GULF INTRACASTAL WATERWAY MODIFICATION, TX. GUADALUPE AND SAN ANTONIO RIVERS, TX. HUNTING BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. COUCHADOR COLORADO RIVER, TX. NORTHWEST EL PASO, TX. RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN, TX. SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX. SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX. SABINE PASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX. SABINE ASS TO GALVESTON BAY, TX. SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX. SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX.	300 672 672 672 830 830 770 840 840 840 840 860 100 100 100 250 100 100 246	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	1, 553 1,

:			

IION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 1	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE	JACKSO 3,000 3,000 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700		4,357 4,357 500 1,700 2,568 2,568 500 1,000		645		EHAB) 11,964 10,464 EM		4,000 17,000 5,000 3,200 4,785 4,785 2,317 2,317 2,317 2,317 2,317 2,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6,000 6,000 6,000 7,000 6
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT TITLE	ALABAMA BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF J MOBILE HARBOR, AL	ALASKA	CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK	ARIZONA	CLIFTON, AZ	ARKANSAS	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB). MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, ARRTYLL RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR & TXRED RIVER WATERWAY (INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, TX)	CALIFORNIA	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (NATOMAS), CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA GUADALUPE RIVER, CA HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA IMPERIAL BEACH (SILVER STRAND SHORELINE), CA LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA LOS ANGELES HARBOR, CA LOWER SACRAMENTO RIY ELVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA MARYSVILLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA MARYSVILLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA MACCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA NORCO BLUFFS, CA NORCO BLUFFS, CA SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA SACRAMENTO RIVER, GA SANTA ANA RIVER, CA SANTA ANA RIVER, CA SANTA ANA RIVER, CA SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM CA

R\$cGPOC	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	RAL	13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 2	
1 2 3 4 3 4 3 4 8 8 8 8	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
SUCCESS DAM, SURFSIDE-SUNS UPPER SACRAME WEST SACRAMEN	SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY)	1,250	1,250 400 3,055 7,000	
DELAWARE COAST DELAWARE COAST	FROM CA PROTECT	259	325 259	
BREVARD COUNT CANAVERAL HAI CEDAR HAMMOCY CEDTRAL AND CEDTRAL AND CEDE COUNTY FORT PIERCE JIM WOODRUFT KISSIMMEE LAKE WORTH IN LEE COUNTY, MANTIN COUNTY MANTIN COUNTY MANTIN COUNTY MANTAN COUNTY MAN	BREVARD COUNTY, FL. CANAVERAL HARBOR DEEPENING, FL. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL. CEDARAL HARBOR, FL. CEDARA HARMOCK, WARES CREEK, FL. CEDARAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL. DADE COUNTY, FL. EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL. FONT PIERCE BEACH, FL. JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL. & GA (MAJOR R. KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL. LAKE WORTH INLET SAND TRANSFER PLANT, FL. LEE COUNTY, FL. MANATIE HARBOR, FL. MANATIE HARBOR, FL. MANATIELLS COUNTY, FL. PALAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PALAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. ST. JOHN'S COUNTY, FL. TAMPA HARBOR (YBOR CHANNEL), FL. ST. JOHN'S COUNTY, FL. (SHORE PROTECTION)	2, 750 2, 750 21, 700 21, 100 39, 800 39, 800 15, 000 2, 000	5,000 830 3,000 52,300 5,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	
BUFORD POWER! HARTWELL LAKE LOWER SAVANNA RICHARD B RUS THURMOND LAKE	GEORGIA BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB)	3,650 1,500 8,500	3,350 1,500 200 8,000 7,500	
	•	•	•	
IAO STREAM FI KIKIAOLA SMAI MAALAEA HARBO	IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR)	219 75 272	219 75 272	

13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 3	CONFERENCE	2,100 300 13,129 1,075	2,000 488 5,044 4,456	3,588 2,900 34,000 18,955		3,600 8,000 40 9,400 2,000 500		2,300 3,792 8,000 3,000 2,500 9,500		4,300 154		1,450 2,500 15,000
3 ∀L	BUDGET REQUEST			2,688 2,500 2,900 18,955		3,900		2, 600 2,000 2,500 9,500		4,300		1,450 2,500 7,750
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERA	PROJECT TITLE	CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR) CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL. CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL	EAST ST LOUIS, IL	MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MO, MN	INDIANA	FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION (INDIANA SHORELINE), IN PATOKA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB) WHITE RIVER, INDIANAPOLIS (NORTH), IN	IOWA	LOCK AND DAM 12, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB) LOCK AND DAM 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB) MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO MUSCATINE ISLAND, IA	KANSAS	ARKANSAS CITY, KS	KENTUCKY	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN

9/25/99 PAGE 5													
13:44 9/	CONFERENCE	13,700	3,200 3,000 7,000		1,000		29,000		7,000 1,700 2,000 1,800 1,300 1,000		1,500 700 2,400 600 600		300 3,320 3,000 4,250 4,000 4,000
ERAL	BUDGET	13,700	3,500 3,000 7,000 13,000		300		20,100		1,700 16,500 2,600 1,800 1,300 1,000 9,000		1,500 2,400 600		3,320 3,000 60,250
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	MISSOURI BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO	CAPE GIRAMED BASIN, WOLLEY PARK LEVEE, MO. MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO. MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO. STE GENEVIEVE, MO. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY).	NEBRASKA	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	NEVADA	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	NEW JERSEY	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET, NJ. CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE. GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOM BAY, NJ. RARITAN RIVER BASIN, GREEN BROOK SUB-BASIN, NJ. SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.	NEW MEXICO	ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM	NEW YORK	ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT, EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY

CONFERENCE		6,300 200 18,300		5,000 10,000 2,000 10,000 1,000		1,400 2,266 915 16,000		500		10,800 5,510 180 352 1,700		6,800 45,000 3,500 23,000 20,000
BUDGET		7,000		5,000 10,000 10,000 3,000 500		1,400 2,266 915 8,000		500		10,800 6,368 500 262 1,700		6,800 21,600 520 3,500 20,000
PROJECT TITLE	NORTH CAROLINA	REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC WICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC	NORTH DAKOTA	D-TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, N.S. LAKE EMERGENCY OUTLET, ND	OH10	CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY POLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OHCOLUMBUS, OH	OKLAHOMA	OOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	OREGON	VILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB). BIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA REEK LAKE, OR	PENNSYLVANIA	JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB)
	BUDGET REQUEST	BUDGET REQUEST	NA GHWAY BRIDGES, NC 7,000 18,300	BRIDGES, NC 7,000	# BUDGET	BRIDGES, NC 7,000 BRIDGES, NC 18,300 AND ACQUISITION, N 10,000 AUOR REHAB) 6,500 MAJOR REHAB) 6,500 MAJOR REHAB) 5,000 10,000 10,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 16,500 16,500 16,500 17,800 18,300	BUDGET REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST READ RES, OH (DAM SAFETY 1,400 2,266 BUCK CREEK, OH 3915 B	BUDGET REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST REQUEST NC NC NC NC NC NC NC N	BUDGET REQUEST REQUEST PRIDGES, NC 7,000 18,300 18,300 10,0	Y BRIDGES, NC 7,000 WAJOR REHAB)	BUDGET REQUEST Reque	BUDGET REQUEST Reque

9/25/99 PAGE 7	₩.		04000								0000		100/0		000500
13:44	CONFERENC		2,500 5,434 1,000 9,566 8,000		37,284		2,200 1,500 7,500		2,000		9,800 7,900 1,900 1,900 1,900 1,900 1,900	, e 0 , e 0 , o 0 , o 0	18,300 4,756		3,000 1,400 550 1,197 19,500 1,400
ERAL	BUDGET REQUEST		2,500 1,000 9,566 8,000		37,284		2,000				9,800	6,800 000,000 000,000	18,300		3,000 1,400 550 1,197
CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	PUERTO RICO	ARECIBO RIVER, PR. PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR. RIO DE LA PLATA, PR. RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR. SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR.	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING)	SOUTH DAKOTA	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD	TENNESSEE	BLACK FOX, MURFREE SPRINGS, AND OAKLAND WETLANDS, TNTENNESSEE RIVER, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	TEXAS	BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. CLEAR CREEK, TX. CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX.	TX. ANSAS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX	×	VIRGINIA	AIWW, BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA
R\$CGPOC	 		ARECIBO PORTUGUE RIO DE L RIO PUEF SAN JUAN		CHARLES		BIG SION CHEYENNE PIERRE,		BLACK FO		BRAYS BACHANNEL CLEAR CF CYPRESS	GIWW, AL	SAN ANT		AIWW, BE JOHN H I NORFOLK ROANOKE VIRGINI/

9/25/99 PAGE 8																	
13:44 9/	CONFERENCE		67,500 1,300 540 2,300		750 800 25,150		2,900 2,600 1,400		3,000		4,000 6,260 2,500 1,000		45 185 400	7,500	10	-225,000	1,400,722
NERAL	BUDGET REQUEST		100,000 1,300 540 2,300		5,400	9,800	2,900		!		3,000 4,500 2,500 1,000 20,000	8,500 19,554 26,900	45 185 500	4 0	25,000	-211,789	1,239,900
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	WASHINGTON	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	WEST VIRGINIA	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV. LEVISA AND GFORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V	4, WV &	SUCHERN WEST VIRGINIA WY CAM SAFETY) TYGARI LAKE, WY (DAM SAFETY) WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WY & PA. WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WY	WISCONSIN	LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI	MISCELLANEOUS	N 206) ON 103) SECTION 204)	EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14). EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION	INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE	OF THE ENV	RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FLOOD HAZARD MITIGA SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208) BEDICTION COD ANTICIDATED CANTAGE AND STEDRAGE AND		TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL

11:42 9/24/99 PAGE 1		
RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	CONFERENCE	30 700 250 1,767 1,000 318 100 318 5,730 30,000 4,850 22,000 9,000 10,400 10,400 10,400 10,400 11,000 20,000 20,000 11,000 11,000 12,000 13,700 9,800 20,000 11,500
	BUDGET	37, 685 27, 700 250 250 31, 685 37, 685 5, 330 21, 900 21, 900 21, 900 21, 900 21, 900 21, 900 22, 190 23, 250 4, 350 10, 400 10, 400 10, 400 11, 520 11, 620 11, 620 2, 398 11, 620 2, 398 11, 620 11, 620 2, 398 11, 620 11, 620 11, 620 12, 880 12, 880 13, 880 14, 880 16, 880 17, 880 18, 880 18, 880 19, 880 11, 620 11, 620 12, 880 13, 880 14, 880 16, 880 17, 880 17, 880 18, 880
R\$MGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI	PROJECT TITLE	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS SURVEYS: GENERAL STUDIES: MISSISSIPPI RIVER, ALEXANDER COUNTY, IL AND SCOTT ALEXANDRIA, LATO THE GULF OF MEXICO DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA. MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS. BAYOU MENO BASIN, AR. MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO REELFOOT LAKE, TN & KY SPRING BAYOU, LA. WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR. IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR. GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR. MISSISSIPPI NEVER SASIN, AR & MS. ATCHARALAYA BASIN, AR & MS. ATCHARALAYA BASIN, LA. ATCHARALAYA BASIN, AR & MS. ATCHARALAYA BASIN, LA. ATCHARALAYA BASIN, AR & MS. LOUISIANA STIPP PENITEWINE ASTURER LAS. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION LA. TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER MS. BACKWATER LESS ROCKY BAYOU, MS. BACKWATER LOWPER RIVER, MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. TRIBUTARIES MS. TRIBUTARIES TRIBUTARIES, TN WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN

R\$MGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI		RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	11:42 9/24/99 PAGE 2	8
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE		1
MAINTENANCE				
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN	55,876	55,876		
HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	284	284		
¿ .	99	99		
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	- 1	— r		
	6,300	8,700		
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,344	ကစ		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	908 45	45 45		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	25	25		
AICHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	10.560	12,810		
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA	•	-		
BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	101	101		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	373	373		
LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	84	84		
MISSISSIPPI DELIA REGION, LA				
TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	2,927	2,927		
GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS	333	333		
VICKSBURG HARBOR, MS				
YAZOO BASIN:	(20,475)	(24,506)		
BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER. MS.	-	•		
ENID LAKE, MS.	3,214	4,214		
GREENWOOD, MS	946	946		
MAIN STEM AS	1,059			
SARDIS LAKE, MS.	4,334	5,334		
HAIBGLAKIES, MS	1,269	493		
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	220	260		
YAZOO CITY, MS	846	846		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO	3,500	3.500		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN	•	•		
MEMPHIS HARBOR, MCKELLAR LAKE, TN	1,117	800 1,117		
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	117,500	128,945		
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-19,562	-14,562		
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES		309,416		

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	AND MAINTENANCE	1CE	11:53	9/24/99 P
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE		
	-	•		
DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR	•	•		
DEQUEEN LAKE, AR.	1,285	1,285		
GILLHAM LAKE, ARGILLHAM LAKE.		• •		
R				
IPS COUNIY, AR.	295 283	295 283		
ANSAS RIVER	•	•		
MILLWOOD LAKE, AR	1,816 3,498	1,816 3,498		
		• •		
	-	-		
IVERS, AR & LA	-	•		
_	5,515	5,515		
YELLOW BEND PORT, AR	•	•		
CALIFORNIA				
.¥.	2,055	2,055		
DR, CA.				
AGS) LAKE AND C	4,272	4,272		
AKE CA				
AY, CA.	• •	• •		
TED WO	1,021			
ISABELLA LAKE. CA		1,456		
L, CA				
EACH HARBOR MODEL, C				
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA	3,940	3,940		
MARINA DEL REY, CA		•		
. :	246	246		
MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	•	-		
NEW HOGAN LAKE, CA	1,081	1,894		
3,0	40 758	40 758		
•	-	•		
OCEANSIDE HARBOR, CA	2,301	• •		
PORT OF HUENEME, CA		2,700		
VETO,	ō	•		

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND	ND MAINTENANCE	AC F	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 3	က
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE		
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA	5,546 1,656	5,546 1,656		
CHANNEL, CA	•	-		
DRIFT REM				
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, CA	• •	• •		
: :°				
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERALIONS, CA				
SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA TERMINUS DAM, LAKE KAWEAH, CA VENTURA HARBOR, CA	2, 995 1, 684 2, 875	2,935 1,684 2,875		
YUBA RIVER, CA	36	38		
BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO CHATFIELD LAKE, CO CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO JINSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CO JOHN MARTIN RESERVOIR, CO SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CO TRINIDAD LAKE, CO	454 778 530 129 2,051 702	454 778 330 129 2,051 702		
CONNECTICUT				
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT. COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT. HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT. HOP BROOK LAKE, CT. MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT. STAMFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT. THOMASTON DAM, CT. THOMPSON LAKE, CT. DELAWARE	328 412 732 732 732 740 756 8	328 412 737 797 512 512 556 418		
	1	1 1		
CEDAR CREEK, DE	265 19,000 19,518 3,556 3,395 3,395	265 19,000 19,118 456 3,395 3,395		

₩

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	AND MAINTENANCE	4 CE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 4
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC POTOMAC RIVER BELOW WASHINGTON, DC	880 985 37	880 985 37	
FLORIDA			
AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC 8.	30		
CENTRAL AND COLITHED FIGURE AT	. ພັ∡	7,332	
FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL	2,652		
	<u>,</u> –	•	
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE RINTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	~	•	
: : :	7,193	7,193 5,699	
	י ע		
MIAMI HARBOR, FL	4,200	5,000	
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	œ.		
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL.	_	•	
PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL.	1,300 7,596	1,300	
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL.	יַסי	• •	
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FLREMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL	3,130	3,130	
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL	. '	•	
ST LUCIE INLET, FL.	2,242	3,242	
TAMPA HARBOR, FL	7,041		
GEORGIA			
APALATOONA LAKE, GAAPALATAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS. GA. AL &	6,328	6,328 6,500	
	س ر	13,	
BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	10	38	
CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA	- ro	50,	
: :	۲.	,75	
တ္တ :	13,757	8,000 13,757	
SAVANNAH MIVEN BELOW ACCOUNT, CAN		,	

13:50 9/25/99 PAGE 5	ENCE	200	121 279 750	759 304 82 238 176 63	539 879 146 362 185 188 368 432 588 647 150 43 43 43 736	844 766 709 837 92 802 213 803 805 805
ANCE	CONFERENCE	9		22 -	25,25,25,20,105,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	BUDGET	6,200	121 279 750	2,759 2,304 2,304 1,238 1,76 63	25,539 26,839 26,879 26,466 26,368 1,588 1,588 3,547 3,888 3,888 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	1,844 1,829 7066 1,064 927 213 825 803
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION	PROJECT TITLE	WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HIINSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HIPROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HIIDAHO	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID	CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN. CARLYLE LAKE, IL. CHICAGO HARBOR, IL. CHICAGO RIVER, IL. CHICAGO RIVER, IL. FARM CREEK RESENVOIRS, IL. ILLINDIS AND MISSISSIPPI CANAL, IL. ILLINDIS WATERWAY, IL & IN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL. LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL. LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL. MISS R BETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, MO &. NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER, IL. REND LAKE, IL. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL. WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.	BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN. BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN. BURNS WATERWAY SMALL BOAT HARBOR, IN. CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN. CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN. INDIANA HARBOR, IN. INDIANA HARBOR, IN. INDIANA LAKE, IN. MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN. MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN. MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 6	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE	730 730 42 42 741 741 154 154	2,755 2,755 109 109 211 211 7,182 7,182 2,147 2,147 3,577 3,577	1,582 1,582 1,130 1,130 560 560 716 716 1,184 1,184 938 275 275 275 1,370 1,370 1,331 1,331 2,016 2,016 1,856 1,856 1,752 1,752 347 468 1,757 1,757 1,731 1,731	7,382 2,057 1,170 1,209 1,209	,364
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION	PROJECT TITLE	PATOKA LAKE, IN. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN. SALAMONIE LAKE, IN. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN. IOWA	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO. RATHBUN LAKE, IA. RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA. SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA.	CLINTON LAKE, KS. COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. ELK CITY LAKE, KS. FALL RIVER LAKE, KS. HILLSDALE LAKE, KS. JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS. JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS. KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS. MARION LAKE, KS. MALVERN LAKE, KS. MALVORD LAKE, KS. PERRY LAKE, KS. PERRY LAKE, KS. POMONA LAKE, KS. FORDITLE CREEK LAKE. WILSON LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS.	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN. BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY. BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY.	CONN CHEEN LANE, N.

MAINTENANCE 11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 7	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE	! ! ! ! !	1,82	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,78	17	662 662	700	83.88	5,789 5,78	932 93	,625 1,62	245	,071		.631 13.22	2,119 2,11	509 50	ພວນ	3 6	5,21	127	8, 4, 6	, 565	.506 13.64	3,44	<u> </u>	ွ် တ	,445 2,44	,743 2,74	430 64,43	4,989	.781 10.78	2,27	209 20		,	ממס	030	030
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND	PROJECT TITLE		GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY	INCRECITOR OF COMPTRIED MORNS, NI	LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY	LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY	MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY.	MIDDLESBORD CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	OH.	IN. OH. PA	:	ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY	YATESVILLE LAKE, KY	LOUISIANA	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOFUF AND BLACK, L			BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	BAYOU TECHE AND VERMILION RIVER. LA	BAYOU TECHE, LA	CADDO LAKE, LA.	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA	GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY LA	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY. LA	HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA	LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA	MERMENTAL RIVER. LA		MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,.	MISSISSIPPI RIVEK, GULT UDILEI, LA	RED RIVER WATER#AY, MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT. L		WALLACE LAKE, LA	MAINE		PORTLAND HARBOR, ME		PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 8																								
CE	CONFERENCE	44 0	625	140 324	770 770 850	770 380	450 143 5,800 895		494	4 4 4 2 3	10,816	215 168	118	332 332	125 125 125	395 395 395	3,227		550 414		7 7	441 68 227	333. 500	512 133
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	BUDGET	440	625	140 324	770	380 380	450 143 5,800 895		494	423 443	10,816	215	118	332	125	395 395 280	3,227	•	550 414		***	441 68 227	333	512 133
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	PROJECT TITLE		BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD	CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV	JENNINGS KANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV	NORTHEAST RIVER, MD. OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MDSCHOOL RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD	MASSACHUSETTS	BARRE FALLS DAM. MA	BIRCH HILL DAM, MA.	· · · · ·		: :	: :	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA	NATION VILLE LAKE LAKE LAKE LAKE LAKE LAKE NA AND ACTION HIRATCANE RARRIER		SALEM HARBOR, MA.	WEST HILL DAM, MA. WESTVILLE LAKE, MA.	MICHIGAN		ALPENA HAKBUK, MI ARCADIA HAKBUR, MI RAY DORT HARBOR, MI	CASEVILLE HARBOR, MI	CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI CHARLEVOIX HARBOR, MI

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	11:53	9/2
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST CONFE	CONFERENCE	1
CLINTON RIVER, MI	368		
		363	
GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI	615 345	615 345	
MI	142	142	
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	379	379	
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	43 205	43 205	
:=	291	291 166	
LAC LA BELLE, MI	- 1 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	156	
LEXINGTON HARBOR, MI.	247	247	
LITILE LAKE HARBOR, MI	1.152	1.152	
MANISTEE HARBOR, MI	52	52	
MANISTIQUE HARBOR, MI	1,356	1,356	
MENUMINEE HARBOR, MI	137	137	
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI	120	120	
NEW BUTTALO HAKBOK, MI	4 4 4 0 4	444 400	
PENTWATER HARBOR, MI.	1,708	1,708	
POINT LOOKOUT HARBOR, MI	328 579	328 579	
PRESQUE ISLE HARBOR, MI	134	134	
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	195	195	
SAGINAW RIVER, MI		1,387	
::	,042	•	
¥ .	488	488	
ST CLAIR RIVER, MI	1,064	1,064	
	667	-	
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	1		
WHITE LAKE HARBOR, MI	324 115	324 115	
MINNESOTA			
BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD		209 2.480	
COMPLETED WORKS, LAKES, MINNESOTA	161 527	161 527	
	155 561	155 561	
TION SURVEYS, MN	57 242		
HEADWATERS OF		3,219	

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 10									
CE	CONFERENCE	64	15 108 108 150 160 1,620 1,630 1,034		200 2,174 2,174 1,248 1,083 1,083 1,083 5,963 20		3,842 2,520 2,520 67		7,184 2,379 150 900 250
4D MAINTENAN	BUDGET	64	2, 15 108 2, 216 3,60 1,620 1,620 1,620 1,034 15		200 2,174 8,214 8,514 8,513 13,584 1,888 1,083 1,083 1,083 1,083 2,247 5,963		3,842 21 2,520 48 67		7,184 2,379 150 900
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	PROJECT TITLE	SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	BILOXI HARBOR, MS. CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS. EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS. GULFPORT HARBOR, MS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS. MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS. PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS. PEARL RIVER, MS. ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS.	MISSOURI	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO. CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO. CLEARWATER LAKE, MO. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO. LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO. LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO. MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO. POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO. STOCKTON LAKE, MO. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO.	MONTANA	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MT	NEBRASKA	GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NE

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 11
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE	
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING, NE. PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE	250 678 796 106	250 678 796 106	
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV	37 532 181	37 532 181	
BLACKWATER DAM, NH. EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH. FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH. HOPKINION - EVERETT LAKES, NH. OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH. PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	361 394 502 941 479 	361 394 502 941 479 479 85	
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ. RARITAN RIVER, NJ. SALEM RIVER, NJ. SAREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ. NEW MEXICO	1,270 3,286 1,854 1,191 1,191	2,270 16,856 3,280 1,854 1,191 700 70	,
ABIQUIU DAM, NM. COCHIII LAKE, NM. CONCHAS LAKE, NM. CONCHAS LAKE, NM. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM. JEMEZ CANTON DAM, NM. SANTA ROSA DAM, NM. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM. TWO RIVERS DAM, NM. UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.	1,1,9 9,9 1,09 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00 1,00	11, 926, 1, 926 1, 926 1, 926 103 115 800 800 800	
NEW YORK ALMOND LAKE, NY	451	451	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 12	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE	228 228	C U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	PROJECT TITLE	ARKPORT DAM, NY BAY RIGGE AND RED HOOK CHANNELS, NY BAY RIGGE AND RED HOOK CHANNELS, NY BROAK RIVER, NY BUJTERALD HARBOR, NY BUJTERALD HARBOR, NY CATTARAUGUS CREEK HARBOR, NY EAST ROCKAWA INLET, NY EAST ROCK CREEK, NY EAST ROCK CREEK, NY EAST SOUGH BAY HARBOR, NY ELUSHING BAY HARBOR, NY ELUSHING BAY HARBOR, NY ENDER SOUTH BAY, NY HUDSON RIVER, NY CAREAT SOUGH BAY, NY HUDSON RIVER, NY CAREAT SOUGH BAY, NY HUDSON RIVER, NY CAREAT SOUGH BAY, NY HUDSON RIVER, NY LONG ISLAND INTRACASTAL WATERWAY NY WARTICHES INLET, NY LONG ISLAND INTRACASTAL WATERWAY NY NORK HARBOR, NY NORK HARBOR, NY ORNEGO HARBOR, NY OSWEGO HARBOR, NY OSWEGO HARBOR, NY OSWEGO HARBOR, NY OSWEGO HARBOR, NY SAG HARBOR, NY WESTCHESTER ROCKER, NY WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	AT ANTIC INTRACORSTAL WATERWAY NO

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 13						
CE	CONFERENCE	707 1,346 1,029 380 4,998 3,709 825 210 139 1,660 6,431		204 7,997 174 1,460 802 368		667 845 1, 228 1, 228 1, 352 1, 352 1, 917 1, 917 1, 917 1, 200 1, 228 1, 228 1, 228 1, 422 1, 422 1, 422 1, 422 1, 748 880 74
AND MAINTENANCE	BUDGET REQUEST	707 1,326 1,029 380 4,998 3,709 825 110 139 6,431		204 7,997 174 1,460 368		667 4,503 1,528 1,228 1,352 1,917 1,917 1,422 1,42 1,4
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AN	PROJECT TITLE	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC NEW RIVER INLET, NC NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND SURVEYS, NC ROANOKE RIVER, NC NO KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	NORTH DAKOTA	BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND. GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND. HOMME LAKE, ND. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND. LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND. PIPESTEM LAKE, ND.	OHIO	ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH. ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH GERLIN LAKE, OH. CARENCE JAKE, OH CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH DELEWARE LAKE, OH DELEWARE LAKE, OH DELEWARE LAKE, OH DELAWARE LAKE, OH DILLON LAKE, OH INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OH LORAIN HARBOR, OH LORAIN HARBOR, OH LORAIN HARBOR, OH LORAIN HARBOR, OH MASSILLON LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH MOSKINGUM RIVER LAKE, OH PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, OH

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	ND MAINTENA	4CE	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	4	
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE				
ROCKY RIVER, OH. ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH. SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OH. TOLEDO HARBOR, OH. TOM JENKINS DAM, OH. WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH. WILLIAM H HARSHA LAKE, OH.	340 1,037 1,037 3,385 279 574 856	1,400 1,001 1,037 3,385 279 574 856				
ОКГАНОМА						
BIRCH LAKE, OK	403	403				
GANDY LAKE, OK	•	•				
CANTON LAKE, OK	2,497 1,020	2,497 1,020				
EUFAULA LAKE, OK						
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OKGREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	751 259	751 259				
HEYBURN LAKE, OKHUGO LAKE, OK	1,404	1,404				
HULAH LAKE, OK						
KAW LAKE, OKKEYSTONE LAKE. OK	2,740 6,543	2,740 6,543				
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK.	•					
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	32	33				
PINE CREEK LAKE, UKRDBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK	4,501	4,501				
SARDIS LAKE, OK.	•	•				
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	•	•				
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK	3,400	3,400				
WEBBERS FALS LOCK AND DAM, OK						
MISTER LANE, UN	n ò	n Ò				
	872 297 5 747	872 297 5 747				
	.4	.4				
COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA	15,173 7,426	17,473 7,426				
THE DALLES,	356 4,112	356 4,112				

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 15	CONFERENCE	4.34 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 7.001 1.09 1.09 1.09 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000	796 248 143 1,388
D MAINTENANCE	BUDGET REQUEST CO	434 9134 690 178 690 690 178 690 178 1633 1633 1633 1633 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178	7.248 1.388 1.388
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	PROJECT TITLE	CODUILLE RIVER, OR. CODUILLE RIVER, OR. COOUTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR. COOUGAR LAKE, OR. COOUGAR LAKE, OR. COOUGAR LAKE, OR. COORENA LAKE, OR. CORRECT LAKE, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR. HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR. COST CREEK LAKE, OR. CONEMALING RESEVOIR OPERATIONS, OR. CONEMALING REAL LAKE, OR. CONEMALING REAL LAKE, OR. CONEMALING REAL LAKE, PA. ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA. ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA. ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA. ALVIN R BUSH LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALICH LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALIGH RIVER LAKE, PA. CONEMALICH CREEK LAKE, PA. EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA. EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA. EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA. FOSTER HARBOR, PA.	FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA JOHNSTOWN, PA KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA

,	1
Š)
G	
Ē	2
ť	į

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	AND MAINTENANCE	ICE	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	16
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	 		
MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, PA PROMPTON LAKE, PA PUNXSUTAWNEY, PA RAYSTOWN LAKE, PA SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA STILLWATER LAKE, PA SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, PA TIONESTA LAKE, PA TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA TIONESTA LAKE, PA UNION CITY LAKE, PA WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE, PA YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA	12, 395 86 935 13, 042 2, 1565 2, 1668 1, 968 2, 075 2, 075 2, 075 2, 184	2,395 3,995 3,900 2,155 2,155 3,87 1,968 7,05			
BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI	675 3,906	675 3,906			
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC GEORGTOWN HARBOR, SC INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC SHIPYARD RIVER, SC TOWN CREEK, SC.	3,391 2,36 4,064 1,424 1,424 3,45	3,391 4,779 7,779 7,064 1,424 811 345		v	
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COTTONWOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD. FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD. LAKE TRAVERSE, SD. & MN. MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.	6,853 6,44 223 8,091 642 130 10,812	6,853 644 223 8,091 10,812 612 61			
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN	5,167	5,167 5,704			

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	UD MAINTENAN	* ·	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 17
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN. CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN. J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER, TN.	4,220 4,220 4,200 3,396 6,006 16,123	2,800 4,220 4,200 3,506 6,516 16,123	
TEXAS			
AGUILLA LAKE, TX. ARKANSAS – RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL – AREA VI BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX BARBOUR L LAKE, TX BARBOUR TENNINGEL, TX BARDWELL LAKE, TX BARDWELL LAKE, TX BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX BENBROOK LAKE, TX CANYON LAKE, TX CANYON LAKE, TX CHANNEL TO HARLINGEN, TX CHANNEL TO HARLINGEN, TX CHANNEL TO HARLINGEN, TX CHANNEL TO HARLINGEN, TX CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX GRAPELIN BARE TX GRAPELIN ELAKE, TX HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX LAVON LAKE, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL, TX MATAGORDA CH	- 0404080004-00-17 - 21-00004004807-00-1700-04000400017 - 21-000017044007-00-1704-00-1	22, 24, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25	
NAVARNO MILLS LAME, IX. NORTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX. O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX. PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX. PROCTOR LAKE, TX.	1,934 1,934 1,974 1,490	, r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 18					
CE	CONFERENCE	2,500 1,093 2,500 2,508 2,508 1,900 1,090 2,532 2,532 2,532 2,588 2,588	63 4 + 4	1,300 1,300 536 511 631 724 520	2, 354 1, 845 1, 566 11, 190 1, 190 1, 190 1, 190 2, 282 2, 252 1, 002 1, 002
ND MAINTENANCE	BUDGET REQUEST	2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508 2, 508	63 414	703 160 536 531 631 724 520	2,364 45 445 1,566 1,566 11,190 1,347 1,347 2,282 2,282 2,252 1,002
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND	PROJECT TITLE	PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX. RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX. SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX. SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX. SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX. TOWN BLUFF DAM, B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX. TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX. WACO LAKE, TX. WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX. WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX. WAIGHT PATMAN DAM AND LAKE, TX.	UTAH INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT. BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT. NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY. NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT. NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT. TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT. VINION VILLAGE DAM, VT.	APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM & NO JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM JOHN W FLANNAGAN

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	AND MAINTENANCE	ACE.	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 19
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
TANGIER CHANNEL, VA	648 3,347 1,185	648 3,347 1,185	
BELLINGHAM HARBOK, WA COLLUMGIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR COLLUMBIA RIVER BAT BAKER BAY, WA & OR COLLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA COLLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER RONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA TELL CREEK LAKE, WA THE CREEK LAKE WA	1,225 1,225 13,150 13,150 1,710 2,731 8,530 1,138 1,138 1,138	8512 450 1,225 16,150 17,10 2,791 1,38 1,38 1,801	
MUD MOUNTAIN DAW, WA. OLYMPIA HARBOR, WA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA. SEATTLE HARBOR, EAST WATERWAY CHANNEL DEEPENING, WA. STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA. STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA. TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA. THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & OR. WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA.	3,157 927 1,064 1,	3,453 927 1,0641 1,0641 3,460 195 2,400 2,400	
BEECH FORK LAKE, WV BLUESTONE LAKE, WV BLUESTONE LAKE, WV EAST LYNN LAKE, WV EAST LYNN LAKE, WV ELKINS, WV INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV TYGART LAKE, WV	1,076 1,390 1,390 1,585 1,643 1,643 1,548	1,076 1,000 1,585 1,585 1,685 1,643 1,543 1,923	

11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 20							
CE	CONFERENCE		107 195 3,484 885 4986 4996 52 52 163 163 230 707		1,126 288		2,550 9,150 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,000
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	BUDGET REQUEST		107 195 3,487 6885 6885 6986 698 168 226 168 230 707		1,126 288		23,000 12,1000 12,000 12,000 12,000 11,000 10,000 1
R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION A	PROJECT TITLE	WISCONSIN	ALGOMA HARBOR, WI ASHLAND HARBOR, WI ASHLAND HARBOR, WI BU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI FOX RIVER, WI GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI KEWAUSHE HARBOR, WI LA FARGE LAKE, WI LA FARGE LAKE, WI MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR, WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR, WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL WI STURGEON BAY HARBOR WI TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	WYOMING	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WYSCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	MISCELLANEOUS	COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM. CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION) DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE. DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM. DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION. NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM. NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIONAL EMERGENCY DREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIONAL EMERGENCY DREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIONAL EMERGENCY DREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIONAL CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3) RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM MAJOR REHABILITATION WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS. WETLANDS EUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	AND MAINTENAN	4CE	13:52	13:52 9/25/99 PAGE 21	21
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE			
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-19,284	-54,435			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,835,900 1,853,618	1,853,618			

TITLE II DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$39,370,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the Senate instead of \$37,190,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account shall be \$15,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$17,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission shall be \$10,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$12,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for administrative expenses shall be \$1,321,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,283,000 as proposed by the House.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates \$607,927,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$604,910,000 as proposed by the House and \$612,451,000 as proposed by the Senate

Of the amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project, \$3,000,000 is for construction of a permanent pumping facility for the Placer County Water Agency, and \$2,900,000 is to initiate construction of a temperature control device at Folsom Dam.

The conference agreement includes final year funding for the Equus Beds Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Project in Kansas.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to use available funds to provide additional recreation facilities at Silo Campground on the southern end of the Canyon Ferry Reservoir in Broadwater County in Montana. The expenditure of these resources will be considered as an in-kind contribution

to Broadwater County if consistent with Public Law 105-277.

The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for the Newlands Water Rights Fund authorized by the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act to be utilized to pay for purchasing and retiring water rights in the Newlands Reclamation Project

The conferees prohibit the use of funds for any water acquisition undertaken by the Bureau of Reclamation for the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said acquisition is in compliance with the acquisition provisions contained in section 202 of this title for Drought Emergency Assistance.

The conferees are aware of the WateReuse Research Foundation's ongoing efforts to conduct research on the science and technology aspects of water reclamation and encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to provide assistance to support the WateReuse Foundation's research program.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund shall be \$24,089,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$24,326,000 as proposed by the Senate

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate extending the authority of the Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate increasing the authorized level of appropriations for Indian municipal, rural and industrial features of the Garrison Unit Diversion project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Lake Andes-Wagner/Marty II demonstration program. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes \$150,000 for this program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Walker River Basin, Nevada, project. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes \$300,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for environmental restoration at Fort Kearny, Nebraska.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$12,425,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation

Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$42,000,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund instead of \$47,346,000 as proposed by the House and \$37,346,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$60,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Restoration program instead of \$75,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for ecosystem restoration activities shall be \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and that the amount to be used for other activities shall be \$30,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for planning and management shall not exceed \$5,000,000 instead of \$7,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$47,000,000 for Policy and Administration instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$49,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 201. The conference agreement includes a provision making appropriations available for purchase of not more than six passenger motor vehicles as proposed by the House instead of not more than seven as proposed by the Senate.

SEC. 202. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate imposing limitations on the use of appropriated funds for the leasing of water for specified drought related purposes, amended to limit the use of funds primarily for water leasing instead of exclusively for water leasing.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate permitting certain investments of advance payments to Indian tribes, tribal organizations, and tribal consortia.

PAGE 1	 		
9/24/99			
11:17			
	ERENCE FACILITIES OM&R	6,996 9,056 1,590 15,423 7,23 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 10	
	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		2, 1, 8, 8, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8,
	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	6, 996 12, 056 1, 590 1, 590 15, 423 10, 103 1, 734 1, 734 1, 734 1, 734 1, 734 1, 734	
RECLAMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		11,1032 14,43 14,43 14,43 14,43 15,000 11,500 10,000 10,000 11,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000
R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLA	PROJECT TITLE	WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES ARIZONA ARIZONA ARIZONA ARIZONA ARIZONA ARIZONA PROJECT (LCRBDF). COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE I COLORADO RIVER INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. NORTHERN ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. SALT RIVER PROJECT, HORSE MESA DAM. SOUTH-CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. SOUTH-CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. SOUTH-CENTRAL ARIZONA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. COLORADO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY YUMA AREA PROJECTS. CALIFORNIA PROJECT CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS. REPLACELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS. REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, EXTRAORDINARY MAINTENANCE	SACKMENIO RIVER DIVISION SAN FELIPE DIVISION SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION SHASTA DIVISION SHASTA DIVISION SHASTA DIVISION TRINITY RIVER DIVISION WATER AND POWER OPERATIONS WEST SAN JOAQUIN DIVISION, SAN LUIS UNIT YIELD FEASIBILITY INVESTIGATION COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM LONG BEACH AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT LOS ANGELES AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT NORTH SAN DIEGO CNTY AREA WATER RECYCLING PROJECT ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT ORANGE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION PROJECT SALTON SEA RESEARCH PROJECT SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM SAN GABRIEL BASIN PROJECT SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM SOLAND PROJECT SOLAND PROJECT SOLAND PROJECT SOLAND PROJECT SAN JOSE AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECL	RECLAMATION				11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	8
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACION MANAGEMENT OF	RENCE FACILITIES OM&R		
COLORADO						
ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECTIONS 5 AND 8 COLLBRAN PROJECT COLORADO-BIG THOMPSON PROJECT COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM FRUITGROWERS DAM PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY GRADOV LLE/ARNASAS RIVER RECOVERY LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP LOWER GUNNISON BASIN UNIT, CRBSCP PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP PARADOX VALLEY PROJECT SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT CLOSED BASIN/CONEJOS DIV UNCOMPAHGRE PROJECT IDAHO IDPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN SELENIUM STUDY	3,000 813 813 3304 435 403 763 763 100	7,506 7,506 4,927 6,700 1,010 1,010 1,010 3,591 3,591	2,000 813 304 304 339 44 163 362 100	7,506 7,506 7,506 1,010 1,010 1,010 1,010 3,591 1,591		
BOISE AREA PROJECTS COLUMBIA-SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY, BOISE PROJECT FORT HALL INDIAN RESERVATION. IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. LEWISTON ORCHARDS, RESERVOIR 'A' DAM. MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS MINIDOKA NORTHSIDE DRAINWATER MANAGEMENT PROJECT	2,385 13,122 200 200 363 4,030	2,726	2,385 9,500 200 250 325 4,030	2,726		
KANSAS EQUUS BEDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT. KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	400	219	423 400	219		
FORT PECK RURAL WATER SYSTEM, MT. HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT. MILK RIVER PROJECT. MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WTR RIGHTS SETTLEMENT STUDY.	69 145 146 1,000	177 177 353	3,000 69 117 250 500	177 353 353		
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECTNEBRASKA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	30	28	30	28		

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLA	RECLAMATION			11	11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 3
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITI MANAGEMENT OMBR	FENCE FACILITIES OM&R	
NEVADA					
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT	6,352	1,098	6,652 1,400 1,500 300	1,098	
CARLSBAD PROJECT	1,012 2,010 769 124 254 213 2,313	9, 766 9, 766 2, 564 2, 564	1,012 4,010 300 769 124 254 253 2,313	9, 766 9, 766 2, 564 11.	
NORTH DAKOTA DAKOTA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	200 150 26,849	180	100 150 27,849	180	
ОКГАНОМА					
ARBUCKLE PROJECT. MCGEE CREEK PROJECT. MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT. NORMAN PROJECT. NORMAN ROJECT. OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT. WASHITA BASIN PROJECT.	275	161 530 125 166 166 256	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	161 530 225 156 156 266 640	
OREGON					
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT DESCHUTES PROJECT DESCHUTES PROJECT GRANDE RONDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY KLAMATH PROJECT MALHEUR/OWYHEE/POWDER/BURNT RIVER BASINS OREGON INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM TOALATIN PROJECT TUMALO IRRIGATION DIST. BEND FEED CANAL, OR UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT (PHASE III)	12,390 12,390 12,390 165 165 336	297 122 122 292 292 626 97 1,270	105 500 165 50 10,390 610 610 165 165 336	297 122 292 292 626 97 1,270	

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECL	RECLAMATION			Ξ	11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	4
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACI MANAGEMENT OI	RENCE FACILITIES OM&R		
SOUTH DAKOTA						
LAKE ANDES - WAGNER/MARTY II. MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER PROJECT MNI WICONI PROJECT. RAPID CITY WASTEWATER REUSE STUDY RAPID VALLEY PROJECT.	5,000 23,873 50	5,527 23	14,000 23,873	5,627		
TEXAS						
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT. EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE. NUCES RIVER PROJECT. SAN ANGELO PROJECT. EXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM.	390	124 387 541 255	100,11100	124 387 541 255		
UTAH						
HYRUM PROJECT MOON LAKE PROJECT NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY NEWTON PROJECT NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM OGDEN RIVER PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM SCOFIELD PROJECT TOOELE WASTEWATER REUSE PROJECT WEBER BASIN PROJECT WEBER RIVER PROJECT	84-1 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	11 11 12 140 140	49 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	2933334 172 174 174 7		
WASHINGTON						
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECTWITER SUPPLY FEAS. STUDY LOWER ELWHA KLALLAM RURAL WATER SUPPLY FEAS. STUDY TULALIP TRIBES WATER QUALITY FEASIBILITY STUDY TULALIP TRIBES WATER QUALITY FEASIBILITY STUDY YAKIMA PROJECT YAKIMA RIVER BASIN WTR ENHANCEMENT PROJECT	5,030 100 50 410 491 11,734	7, 535	5,030 200 10,481	8,984 7,535		
MYOMING						
KENDRICK PROJECT NORTH PLATTE PROJECT SHOSHONE PROJECT WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	4 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	4,642 1,164 859	4 8 8 C	4,642 1,164 859		

4*BGPOC BUREAU OF RECL∕	RECLAMATION				11:17	9/24/99 PAGE	ĸ
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITI MANAGEMENT OMBR	ERENCE FACILITIES OM&R			
VARIOUS							
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II	12,3	1,014	12,300	902			
COLORADO RIVER STORAGE, SECTION 8, RF&W	0,		7,650				
DEPAR MEN INTIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM	ກຸ່ເ	1 1 1	3,000				
BERGENCY PLANNING AND DISASTER RESPONSE PROGRAM	7 1	306	•	306			
ENURONMENTAL AND INTERAGENCY COORDINATION.	15,118		15,118	! !			
ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	2,08	3,892	1,500	3,892			
FEDERAL BUILDING SEISMIC SAFETY PROGRAM	2,	•		875			
LAND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	6,232		5,232				
MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	-		•	910			
NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	- σ	! ! ! !	1,300	! !			
NEGOTIATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING.	<u>, -</u>	!!!	•	1 1			
DPERALION AND MAINIENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM - OTHER PROJECT	θ,	538 24, 593		538 24. 593			
POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	·-	•	1,023				
PUBLIC ACCESS AND SAFEIT PROGRAM	ď,	! !	•	 			
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII RECREATION, FISH AND WILDLIFE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	4,222		4,222	!!			
SAFETY OF DAMS: DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	-	1,600	.				
SAFETY OF DAMS EVALUATION AND MODIFICATION	}	60,869	}	54,983			
APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4-		3,242	1 1			
GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM	500	1 1	500	!			
TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT	,		300				
WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	-	754	1,000	754			
SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATIONTECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES			257				
TITLE XVI WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY.	2,214		2,214				
UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - LECH SUPPORT WATER MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION PROGRAM			100 6,600	! !			
:	5,595		3,595	-			

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	AMATION			-	1:17	11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	ယ
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES FACILITIES ANAGEMENT OM&R	CONF RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES ANAGEMENT OM&R		BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	
UCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED		-30,80046,000		-46,000			
TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES	409,199	243,639	243,639 395,578 212,349	212,349			

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	AMATION				11:17	11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	7
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACII MANAGEMENT ON	ERENCE FACILITIES OM&R	1		
LOAN PROGRAM						÷	
CALIFORNIA							
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT CHINO BASIN DESALINATION PROJECT SALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION SAN SEVAINE CREEK WATER PROJECT TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT	2,600 117 1,700 6,408		2,600 117 1,700 6,408 1,175				•
VARIOUS							
LOAN ADMINISTRATION	425	M M M M M M M M M M	425	; h			
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM	12,425	!	12,425				

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

HOUSE AND SENATE VIEWS

The reports accompanying the House and Senate passed bills include strongly held views of each body regarding the Department of Energy. The conferees have resolved all differences between the two bodies related to funding and where specific direction or requirements are provided. However, the conferees have not attempted to reconcile those portions of the reports that express the opinion of either body.

For example, the House and Senate reports express differing views on the external regulation of the Department's facilities. The conferees have not addressed this difference of opinion. However, where funding is involved, as it is with regard to providing funding within the Office of Environment, Safety and Health for external regulation, the conferees have agreed not to eliminate such funds as proposed by the Senate.

In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The conferees expect the Department to reduce field office staffing by five percent from the current fiscal year 1999 aggregate levels. These reductions are not to be prorated, but should be based on an analysis of staffing needs at each individual office.

EXTERNAL INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENTS OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The conferees agree that none of the funds provided for fiscal year 2000 construction projects may be obligated until an external, independent assessment of the baseline cost and schedule has been performed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review. The Department is also directed to improve the corrective action plans prepared in response to these external reviews. The quality of the corrective action plans received by the Committees on Appropriations has been marginal at hest

CONTRACTOR TRAVEL

The conference agreement includes a statutory provision limiting reimbursement of Department of Energy management and operating contractors for travel expenses to not more than \$150,000,000 and requiring contractor travel to be consistent with the rules and regulations for Federal employees. This reduction is not to be prorated, but should be applied to those organizations which appear to have the most egregious travel practices. This is not meant to restrict trips between laboratories to coordinate on program issues. The conferees are particularly concerned with the number of trips by laboratory employees to Washington, D.C., and the expense and excessive number of laboratory employees who travel to Russia.

The Department is also directed to ensure that reimbursements for contractor travel shall not exceed those costs which would be allowed for travel by employees of the Federal government. The conferees are aware that there is a cost difference because contractors cannot receive government rates for certain travel expenses. However, the regula-

tions should ensure that contractors are not allowed to charge the government for business class or first class travel expenses, hotels which exceed the government per diem allowance, and other expenses and benefits such as the personal use of frequent flier miles which are not allowed if the traveler is a Federal employee. Guidelines that provide for deviations from Federal travel regulations may be approved by the Secretary.

AUGMENTING FEDERAL STAFF

The conferees agree that a reduction is required in the number of Department of Energy management and operating contractors who are assigned to the Washington metropolitan area. Funding for management and operating contractors has been reduced by \$15,000,000. The conference agreement endorses the Department's proposed management plan to address this problem and to limit the current assignments to not more than 270 positions in fiscal year 2000. Those positions must perform functions that are highly technical and directly related to laboratory missions. Additionally, the Washington contractor offices (currently 13 for 9 laboratories) should be consolidated into one or two workplaces unless the Department finds that all of the offices can be eliminated by locating them in Department of Energy office space.

The conference agreement adopts the report requirement proposed by the House. This report, which is due on January 31, 2000, is to be augmented to include the status of the Department's proposed management reforms.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2000 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement modifies the current laboratory directed research and development (LDRD) program by reducing the allowable cost from six percent to four percent of the funds provided to the laboratories. None of the funds provided to laboratories for environmental cleanup activities may be taxed for LDRD purposes.

COMPUTER SECURITY

The conference agreement does not withhold funding for information management systems as proposed by the House.

ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language on improving project management in the Department of Energy and overhead costs reviews, and the Senate report language on personnel security.

GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2000, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement appropriates \$644,937,953 instead of \$615,317,304 as proposed by the House or \$721,233,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing \$15,000,000 for civilian research and development.

SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES

The conference agreement provides \$362,240,000 instead of \$356,450,000 as proposed by the House or \$353,900,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Solar building technology research.—The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$2,810,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$1,700,000 for technology development and \$300,000 for quality assurance.

Photovoltaic systems research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$69,847,000, instead of \$72,977,000 as proposed by the House or \$66,847,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the \$67,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable provided Energy, the conferees have \$27,000,000 for advanced materials and devices, \$15,309,000 for fundamental research, and \$24.691.000 for collector research and systems development program of which up to \$1,500,000 may be used for "million solar roofs" activities. From the amount provided, the conferees have provided \$1,000,000 for the Materials Science Center in Tempe, Arizona.

Concentrating solar power systems.—The conference agreement includes \$15,410,000, the same amount as the House, instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$5,000,000 for distributed power system development, \$5,000,000 for dispatchable power system development, and \$2,900,000 for advanced component and system research. No funds have been provided here for strategic alliances and market awareness activities. The conferees have included \$2,500,000 for research and development for the U.S.-manufactured 22kw dish sterling program.

Biomass/biofuels research and development. conference agreement \$98,740,000, instead of \$98,960,000 as proposed by the House or \$99,690,000 as proposed by the The conferees have Senate. \$26,740,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement includes \$32,500,000 for power systems and \$39,500,000 for the transportation program. The conferees have provided up to \$1,000,000 for the regional biomass program to be derived from the power program. The conferees have not included the House provision prohibiting further funding of the Vermont gasification project. The conference agreement includes up to \$5,000,000 for the final Federal contribution to this facility. The conferees have provided \$1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research, to be derived from the power program. The conferees have included the House provision providing up to \$6,000,000 for the multi-agency biomass program. The Department is directed to include a competitive solicitation for projects that meet criteria for funding under the Department's unique role in this multi-agency effort.

The conference agreement does not include funds for the Vermont agriculture methane project or the Southern Illinois University project as provided in the Senate report. The conferees have included up to \$500,000 for the P-series fuel project at the University of Louisville. The conferees have not included any other new projects in the transportation program. The conferees note that the Department has funded several biomass energy

projects during recent years whose timelines have been delayed for various reasons. The conferees believe it is time for the Department to complete these projects and related activities before initiating new projects. Accordingly, funds are included for the completion and/or termination costs of previously funded biomass projects. The conferees have provided \$3,000,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute (MBI), to be derived equally from the power and transportation programs. The conferees direct that the Department and MBI submit a spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations for approval no later than November 30, 1999.

Wind energy research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$33,283,000, instead of \$31,243,000 as proposed by the House or \$34,283,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$283,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the budget request. Within the \$33,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, \$13,500,000 is for applied research, the same amount as the budget request. The conference agreement does not include prescriptive language specifying allocations as included in the Senate Report.

Renewable energy production incentive.—The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000, the amount of the budget request and the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$2,610,000 as proposed by the House.

Solar program support.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, a \$3,000,000 increase over the amount provided by the House and Senate. The conferees have included the House proposal to provide \$1,000,000 for electricity restructuring activities and \$1,000,000 for feasibility studies in preparation for a competitive solicitation. The conferees have provided an additional \$3,000,000 for the Department to conduct distributed power system integration research and development. This effort is to be part of the competitive solicitation program and shall include modeling, field testing and analyses to determine the best means of integrating distributed power resources, including renewable energy, combined heat and power, and hybrid systems into the electricity system in a manner that enhances reliability, safety and power quality.

International solar energy.—The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 instead of \$4,950,000 as provided by the House or \$3,000,000 as provided by the Senate. Of this amount, \$3,000,000 is to be provided expeditiously to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (IUEP). IUEP shall competitively award all projects, continuing its leadership role in reducing carbon dioxide emissions using voluntary market-based

mechanisms.

National Renewable Energy (NREL).—The conference agreement includes \$1,100,000, the amount of the budget request, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,800,000 as proposed by the House.

technology development.—The Geothermal conference agreement includes \$24,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$24,310,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$6,000,000 for exploration research and development and \$5,500,000 for drilling technology research and development.

Hydrogen research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$27,970,000, instead of \$24,730,000 as proposed by the House and \$29,970,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$2,970,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement does not include the specific funding items listed in the Senate report except for \$250,000 for the carbon dioxide/hydrogen production gas reforming facility in Nevada and \$350,000 for the Montana Trade Port Authority in Billings, Montana.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$2,760,000 as proposed by the House. The amount provided is exclusively for cost-shared research and development of "fish-friendly" turbines.

Renewable Indian energy resources.-The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the Senate, instead of no funds as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided funds in accordance with the Senate report, except that \$1,000,000 is provided for the Nome diesel upgrade instead of the Kotzebue wind project.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes \$38,410,000, instead of \$38,910,000 as proposed by the House or \$33,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$31,910,000 for hightemperature superconducting research and development, \$3,500,000 for energy storage systems and \$3,000,000 to support a national laboratory/utility industry partnership to conduct research on reliability of the nation's electricity infrastructure including the impact of electricity restructuring on safety and reliability. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the distributed power demonstration project at the Nevada Test Site instead of \$1.000.000 as provided in the Senate report.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$17,720,000, the same amount provided by the House, instead of \$17,750,000 as proposed by the Senate.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

conference The agreement provides \$288,700,000, instead of \$265,700,000 as proposed by the House or \$297,700,000 as proposed by the Senate

Advanced radioisotope power systems.-The conference agreement includes \$34,500,000, instead of \$32,000,000 as provided by the House or \$37,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

Test reactor area landlord.—The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the House and the Senate.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes \$12,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Nuclear energy plant optimization.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees direct that the Department ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program.

Nuclear energy research initiative.—The conferees have provided \$22,500,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative, instead of \$25,000,000 as recommended by the Senate or \$20,000,000 as recommended by the House.

Civilian research and development.-The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000, instead of no funding as recommended by the House and \$15,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees direct that funding be provided in accordance with the Department's Roadmap for Developing ATW Technology and encourage international participation and cooperation in the program.

Fast Flux Test Facility.—The conference agreement provides \$28,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Termination costs.—The conference agreement provides \$80,000,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of \$75,000,000 as provided by the House. The conference agreement provides the full amount of the budget request to complete draining and processing EBR-II primary sodium. The conferees direct the Department to notify the Committees immediately if any issues arise that would delay the Department's plan to complete these activities as stated in the budget justification documents. If additional funds are required, the Department should send a reprogramming request to the Committees as expeditiously as possible.

Uranium programs.—The conference agreeincludes \$43,500,000, instead \$40,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$39,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided an additional \$3,987,000 to address worker and public health and safety concerns at the gaseous diffusion

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes \$20,500,000, instead of \$18,000,000 as proposed by the House or \$23,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have included \$7,500,000 for the Isotope production facility, the same amount as provided by the Senate.

Program direction.-The conference agreement includes \$24,700,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes \$38,998,000, instead of \$36,750,000 as recommended by the House or \$48,998,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees direct that the reduction from the budget request be directed to eliminate lower-priority activities currently funded in this program. The conference agreement does not preclude funding for external regulation-related ac-

ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Technical information management program.—The conference agreement includes \$8,600,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Transfer of funds to the Occupational Safety And Health Administration.—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for safety and health activities related to non-Federal workers at Federal facilities and regulatory responsibilities at non-nuclear facilities. This is the same amount as the House, instead of no funding as recommended by the

Field operations.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding of field offices to sponsoring programs in accordance with the Department's management reorganization plan. Funding for the Chicago, Oakland and Oak Ridge offices has been provided in the Science account. Funding for the Idaho office has been moved to the Environmental

Management account.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding to the Science account.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conferees have included the transfers totaling \$5,820,953 from the Geothermal Resources Development and United States Enrichment Corporation Funds as proposed in the budget request and included in the House and Senate bills. The conference agreement also includes \$47,100,000, the same amount as the budget request, for research performed by the Office of Science related to solar and renewable energy technologies.

The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision to use \$31,589,000 identified as prior year balances. The House did not include a prior year balance adjustment. The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$1,500,000 for contractor travel, a \$1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C., and a \$5,000,000 general reduction.

Non-Defense Environmental Management The conference agreement appropriates \$333.618,000 instead of \$327,223,000 as proposed

by the House and \$327,922,000 as proposed by

The conference agreement includes \$595,000 for the National Low-Level Waste Program in fiscal year 2000. These funds are to be used to maintain Federal data bases for tracking and reporting on low-level waste disposal information.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$5,800,000 to complete cleanup at the Grand Junction site in Colorado in fiscal

vear 2000

The conferees are aware of additional costs being incurred in the TMI Fuel Storage project related to compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission safety requirements. The Department should submit a reprogramming request as expeditiously as possible to remedy this shortfall.

URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$250,198,000 instead of \$240,198,000 as proposed by the House and \$200,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

An additional \$10,000,000 has been provided to accelerate cleanup activities at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, in an effort to deal with radioactive contamination of groundwater, surface water, and on-site burial grounds, as well as decontamination and decommissioning of facilities. The conferees are aware of over \$30,000,000 in additional cleanup work at the Paducah site alone that was identified in the Phase I preliminary investigation completed by the Department on September 14, 1999. The conferees urge the Department to substantially increase the funding request in fiscal year 2001 for the Paducah and Portsmouth facilities to fully characterize waste in and around the DOE reservations and to eliminate the existing threats to the residents, workers, and the environment.

Funding of \$30,000,000, the same as the budget request, has been provided for the uranium and thorium reimbursement program. The conferees recognize there are eligible uranium and thorium licensee claims under Title X of the Energy Policy Act that have been approved for reimbursement, but not yet paid in full. The conferees direct the Department of Energy to submit with the fiscal year 2001 budget request a current list of the licensees approved for payment, amounts paid to date, and remaining balances requiring reimbursement for each of the claimants.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,799,851,000, instead of \$2,718,647,000 as provided by the House or \$2,725,069,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing funding for Boston College, the University of Missouri or the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides \$707,890,000 for high energy physics, instead of \$715,525,000 as provided by the House or \$691,090,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate reduction for research and development of a TeV scale center of mass accelerator. The conferees do have concerns about the early cost projections of this planned facility and urge the Department to consider reasonable expectations of budgets and significant international participation during the early planning process for this proposed facility.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides \$352,000,000 for nuclear phys-

ics, instead of \$357,940,000 as provided by the House or \$330,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision eliminating funding for the Bates Linear Accelerator Laboratory.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes \$441,500,000, instead of \$406,170,000 as provided by the House or \$429,700,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees have included \$19,500,000 for the low-dose effects program including a review of the Hiroshima dosimetry system. The conferees have not provided \$2,000,000 in the Defense Environment, Safety and Health account as proposed by the Senate for this review. The conferees have provided \$100,000 to study the effects of radiation on avian populations at the Nevada Test Site.

includes agreement conference \$5,000,000 for improvements and optimum utilization of the University of Missouri research reactor and \$1.500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii. The conferees have provided \$2.500.000 for the bone marrow transplantation/radioimmunotherapy gram at the City of Hope National Medical Center and \$1.000.000 for the Gallo Institute of the Cancer Institute of New Jersey. The conference agreement also includes \$1,000,000 for cancer research at the Burbank Hospital Regional Center in Fitchburg, Massachusetts; \$2,000,000 for the Midwest Proton Radiation Institute; \$1,000,000 for the Center for Research on Aging at Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois; and \$1,000,000 for the breast cancer program at the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System.

conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for the Medical University of South Carolina's Cancer Research Center, \$1,500,000 for the West Virginia National Education and Technology Center, \$1,500,000 for the University of Las Vegas Science Complex, \$1,000,000 for the Science Center at Creighton University, and \$1,500,000 for the Utton Transboundary Center. The conference conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 to further development of technologies using advanced functional brain imaging methodologies, including magnetoencephalography, for conduct of basic research in mental illness and neurological disorders. The conferees are aware of research into the molecular basis of disease and MicroPET at the University of California Los Angeles and encourage the Department to review this new technology and possible collaborations and report back to the Committees.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$783,127,000 instead of \$735,989,000 as recommended by the House of \$854,545,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have included \$7,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, the same amount as provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees included very modest reductions to BES research programs and they strongly oppose any effort by the Department to target one laboratory when allocating this reduction.

Spallation Neutron Source.—The recommendation includes \$117,900,000,000, including \$100,000,000 for line-item construction costs and \$17,900,000 for related research and development. The amount provided is \$69,000,000 less than the amount provided by the Senate and \$50,000,000 more than the amount provided by the House. The conferees have provided the same amount authorized in the House-passed authorization bill.

Computational and technology research.— The conference agreement includes \$132,000,000, instead of \$143,000,000 as provided by the House or \$129,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees strongly support the Department's current supercomputer programs including ASCI, NERSC, and modeling programs. The conferees urge the Department to submit a comprehensive plan for a non-Defense supercomputing program that reflects a unique role for the Department in this multi-agency effort and a budget plan that indicates spending requirements over a five-year budget cycle.

Energy research analyses.—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Sen-

ate.

Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.— The conference agreement includes \$21,260,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes the additional \$1,000,000 provided by the House to fully fund the Department's commitment to the payment-in-lieu of taxes program and does not include the additional \$1,000,000 provided by the Senate for roofing improvements at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$250,000,000, the same amount provided by the House instead of \$220,614,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees are pleased with the highly supportive recent report on fusion energy science from the Secretary of Energy's Advisory Board and with the comprehensive scientific plan developed by the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee (FESAC). The FESAC plan should be used by the Department as guidance in the allocation of the resources provided for fusion energy sciences.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes \$11,800,000 as proposed by the House

Program Direction.—The recommendation is \$131,108,000, instead of \$126,963,000 as proposed by the House or \$52,360,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$52,360,000 for headquarters program direction activities, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$10,834,000 for contractor travel, the same amount as the budget request. The conferees have also included a \$1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C.; a \$10,000,000 general reduction; and a \$10,000,000 reduction reflecting the House provision to include all funding for science education activities with program direction funding.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$240,500,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal instead of \$242,500,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$169,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the State of Nevada instead of \$4,727,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds a proposed by the House. This funding will be provided to the Department of Energy which will reimburse the State for actual expenditures on appropriate scientific oversight responsibilities conducted pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. These funds may not be used for salaries and expenses for State employees in the oversight office.

The conference agreement includes \$5,432,000 for affected units of local government as proposed by the Senate instead of no funds as proposed by the House. Funding for the affected local governments is to be allocated in the same proportion as was provided to each affected local government in fiscal year 1998.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for seismic evaluations instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. No funds are provided in this account for the development of accelerator transmutation of waste technology.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$206,365,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$193,769,000 as proposed by the House and \$219,415,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding of \$10,000,000 is to be transferred to this account from Other Defense Activities. Revenues of \$106,887,000, \$10,000,000 less than the budget request, are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2000, resulting in a net appropriation of \$99,478,000.

The conference agreement provides \$26,000,000 for the Chief Financial Officer, an increase over the budget request of \$23,792,000. These additional funds are to support the new engineering and construction division

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House for severance payments for the office of field management.

Reprogramming Guidelines.—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$500,000 or 5 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage this account. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$500,000 or 5 percent to an individual program account during the fiscal year require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$29,500,000 for the Inspector General instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$29,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,443,939,000 instead of \$3,962,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,609,832,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense must be provided in accordance with procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate allowing the use of stockpile stewardship funds for regional economic development and language proposed by the House deferring the obligation of \$1,000,000,000 until certain conditions are met.

Stockpile stewardship.—The conferees have postponed the integrated strategy proposed by the Senate. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 to enhance or provide new microsystems capability at the Sandia National Laboratory and \$5,000,000 to begin the process of moving the Atlas pulsed power experimental facility to the Nevada Test Site.

Funding of \$316,000,000 has been provided for the accelerated strategic computing initiative (ASCI) program, a reduction of \$25,000,000 from the request of \$341,000,000.

Inertial Fusion.—The agreement includes the additional \$10,000,000 proposed by the House for the inertial fusion program to further development of high average power lasers.

National Ignition Facility.—The conference agreement does not include the additional

funding proposed by the Senate for the Na-

tional Ignition Facility. The National Ignition Facility has been described as one of the cornerstones of the Stockpile Stewardship Program. The conferees understand that the most recent internal review of the project has concluded that the projected cost to complete the project has increased and the completion date will be delayed. The conferees are very disappointed by this. Additional reviews will be performed in coming months to establish the appropriate future actions for proceeding with this project.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Energy complete and certify a new cost and schedule baseline for the National Ignition Facility and submit that certification to the Committees by June 1, 2000. If the Secretary is unable to provide such a certification, the Department should prepare an estimate of the costs necessary to terminate the project.

Technology transfer.—The conference agreement provides \$14,500,000 for the technology transfer program. This includes \$5,000,000 for the Amarillo Plutonium Research Center, the same as the budget request. The remaining funds support the projects identified in the budget request. The conferees recognize that the funds provided for technology transfer have been reduced substantially in recent years and recommend that the Department concentrate the remaining funds on technology partnerships with small business.

Education.—The conference agreement provides \$18,600,000 for education programs, including the budget request of \$6,000,000 for the Northern New Mexico Educational Enrichment Foundation and \$8,000,000 for the Los Alamos School District.

Stockpile management.—For core stockpile management activities, the conference agreement provides \$1,965,300,000, which includes the following adjustment to the budget request. Additional funding of \$25,000,000 is to be distributed among the Y-12 plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; the Kansas City plant in Missouri; the Pantex plant in Amarillo, Texas; and, if necessary, up to \$1,000,000 may be provided to plan modifications of the nuclear materials vault at the Los Alamos TA-55 facility.

Tritium.—A total of \$175,000,000 is provided for continued research and development on a new source of tritium. Funding of \$36,000,000, an increase of \$5,000,000 over the budget request, has been provided for design only activities in Project 98–D–126, Accelerator Production of Tritium.

Chemical and Metallurgical Research (CMR) Building Upgrades.—The conference agreement provides \$15,000,000 for upgrades to the CMR building. The conferees direct the Department to initiate the conceptual design of a replacement facility using existing operating funds.

Transportation Safeguards Division.—The conference agreement establishes a separate account for the Transportation Safeguards Division, as proposed by the House, and provides the budget request of \$91,812,000. The conferees are aware that funding adjustments may be required in fiscal year 2000 to accommodate additional program activities.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides \$209,000,000, a reduction of \$5,688,000 from the budget request after transferring \$31,812,000 to the Transportation Safeguards Division account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of \$7,668,000 of prior year balances, \$30,000,000 for contractor travel savings, \$5,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings, and a general reduction of \$29,800,000.

Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,484,349,000 for Defense Environmental Res-

toration and Waste Management instead of \$4,157,758,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,551,676,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$1,064,492,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$189,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of \$5,737,841,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

In the event that the conference agreement requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall be applied to the lowest priority projects and activities at each site in order to preserve critical program activities.

The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for a project in Idaho.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides an additional \$10,000,000 to address funding shortfalls at the Hanford site in Richland, Washington.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement includes an additional \$10,000,000 for spent fuel activities related to the Idaho Settlement Agreement; \$13,000,000 to maintain schedules required by revised compliance agreements with the State of Washington; and \$10,000,000 to support high level waste removal activities at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).—The conferees have included statutory language that would enable the Department to use funds otherwise available to the State of New Mexico to meet any bonding requirements that the State may impose on the operations of WIPP. The inclusion of such a provision should not be taken as a precedent. To the contrary, should such a requirement be imposed on the operation of WIPP, the conferees will recommend a statutory prohibition on such requirements.

The Department of Energy should review the role of the Environmental Evaluation Group to determine whether it is necessary to continue this oversight group now that WIPP has opened.

Health effects studies.—No funds are provided for health effects studies in the Environmental Management program. All funding for health effects studies is included in the Environment, Safety and Health (Defense) program.

Science and Technology Development.—The conference agreement provides \$230,500,000 for the technology development program, the same as the budget request. The Department is directed to provide \$5,000,000 from within available funds for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2000. The Department is urged to reallocate funds to the extent possible to provide up to \$10,000,000 for technology deployment activities.

The conference agreement provides \$4,500,000, an increase of \$500,000 over the budget request, for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided \$339,409,000 for the program direction account. The recommendation includes funding for the Federal employees at the Idaho Operations Office consistent with the Department's new organization structure.

Economic development.—The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided \$24,500,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of \$40,000,000 of

prior year balances; \$6,000,000 for contractor travel savings; \$8,700,000 in offsetting collections; and \$2,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,064,492,000 for the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account instead of \$1,054,492,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,069,492,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on a schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides \$189,000,000 for the environmental management privatization program instead of \$228,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees are aware that funding requirements for the Disposal Cell at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, have been reduced by \$39,000,000.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,722,444,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$1,651,809,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,872,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$744,850,000 for nonproliferation and national security programs instead of \$691,050,000 as proposed by the House and \$822,300,000 as proposed by the Senate

posed by the Senate. Competitive research.—The conferees direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2000. In addition, 25 percent of the Department's treaty monitoring program is to be awarded through an open competitive process. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

The conference agreement provides funds for Project 00-D-192, the Nonproliferation and International Security Center at Los Alamos. However, none of the funds may be obligated until an external, independent project assessment has been completed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review.

Federal employees.—The conferees are aware that the Department does not have enough qualified Federal employees available to manage the nonproliferation and national security programs, particularly the Russian programs. The conferees will favorably consider a reprogramming of funds from these program areas to the program direction account as Federal employees are hired to replace the contractor employees who currently oversee these programs contrary to proper role of contractor employees.

Arms Control.—The conference agreement includes \$41,152,000 for chemical and biological non-proliferation activities; \$150,000,000 for the materials protection, control and accounting program; \$22,500,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Program; and \$7,500,000 for the Nuclear Cities Initiative.

Emergency Management.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$21,000,000 for emergency management.

Nuclear Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides \$69,100,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request. This funding is recommended to enhance protection of critical facilities and infrastructure against physical and cyber attacks. From within available funds, \$1,000,000 is provided to address the vulnerabilities of security equipment; \$1,000,000 is provided to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications; and \$1,000,000 is to be used for an enhanced information assurance program.

Security Investigations.—The conference agreement provides \$33,000,000 for security investigations, an increase of \$3,000,000 over the hudget request

the budget request.

HEU Transparency Implementation.—The conference agreement provides \$15,750,000, the same as the budget request.

International Nuclear Safety.—The conference agreement provides \$15,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. No funds are provided to initiate new programs in fiscal year 2000 or to expand new programs initiated in fiscal year 1999.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides \$89,000,000 for the program direction account. The conferees are aware of and support the proposal to restructure the Moscow office by reducing the use of national laboratory employees.

INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$36,059,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's intelligence program.

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$39,200,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's counterintelligence program.

INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 in support of the newly established office of independent oversight and performance assurance. This is in addition to the funds provided for this office in the budget for Environment, Safety and Health (Defense).

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$98,000,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities instead of \$96,600,000 as proposed by the House and \$94,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not reduce funding for environmental evaluations and contractor support to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board liaison. The budget request of \$13,500,000 has been provided for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation.

Health Effects Studies.—The conferees have provided \$48,956,000 for health effects studies. This amount includes the budget request of \$40,956,000 in this account and \$8,000,000 from the Defense Environmental Management

From within available funds, the Department should reprioritize the funding for health effects studies to address the health concerns of current and former workers for the purpose of early identification of workrelated diseases at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Portsmouth, and Oak Ridge. As part of this screening program, the Department is urged to make use of recent medical advances that detect lung cancers at an early stage. Medical screening results will be assessed by occupational medicine physicians, and the participants, where appropriate, will be provided referral assistance. The conferees also urge the Department to request sufficient funds for fiscal year 2001 to provide medical surveillance for those workers, both former and current, who were not screened under this accelerated program at the three gaseous diffusion plants.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$24,500,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Since there are no sig-

nificant program funding decreases in the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2000, the conferees have reduced the funding allocated for enhanced severance benefits and local assistance grants.

The conferees do not agree that this program should share the infrastructure burden that is necessary to maintain test readiness at the Nevada Test Site, but support efforts to diversify technical activities at the Nevada Test Site.

FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides \$173,235,000 for fissile materials disposition instead of \$190,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$205,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the budget request of \$21,765,000 for Project 00-D-142, Immobilization and Associated Processing Facility, which has been delayed. The conference agreement provides no long-lead procurement funds for Project 99-D-141, Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility

The conferees have included \$5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate to support the joint U.S.-Russian development program of advanced reactor technology to dispose of Russian excess weapons-derived plutonium. Of this funding, \$2,000,000 is available for work to be performed in the United States by the Department of Energy and other U.S. contractors, and \$3,000,000 is to be expended for work in Russia. The \$3,000,000 shall be made available for work in Russia on the gas reactor technology on the condition and only to the extent that the Russian Federation matches these contributions with either comparable funding or contributions-inkind

NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement includes \$677,600,000 as provided by the House and the Senate.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes the use of \$49,000,000 of prior year balances; \$13,000,000 for contractor travel savings; \$20,000,000 offset to user organizations; and \$7,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings. Reductions to prior year balances should be applied to those programs which have uncosted balances which are nearly equal to the program expenditures for the entire fiscal year.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$112,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$112,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding proposed by the Senate for the accelerator transmutation of waste program has been included in the Energy Supply account.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

The conference agreement does not include the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to eliminate the Department's purchase power programs. The conference agreement includes the Senate provision to fund these purchases in advance as in prior years.

The conference agreement does not include the House statutory provision prohibiting

power marketing administrations (PMAs) from installing fiber optic cable in excess of operational needs. Under current law, the PMAs have authority to install fiber optic cable as part of the authority to operate transmission services. The conferees note that the same authority exists for all the PMAs. Installed and planned fiber optic cable costs for Western Area Power Authority (WAPA) amount to approximately \$6,000,000 and comparable costs of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) are approximately \$140,000,000. Because manages approximately 17,000 miles of transmission and BPA manages approximately 15,000 miles, there are concerns about this level of spending on fiber optic cable installations.

The conferees direct the PMAs to prepare a comprehensive fiber optic cable plan that includes all activities relating to installation, operation, marketing and leasing of fiber optic cables and related communications operations. The plan should provide details on current and future operational needs, summary information of current leases, planned leasing costs and revenues, criteria used to determine where and when to install fiber optic cable, and criteria used to determine leasing agreements. The plan should include summary tables so that comparisons can be made among the PMAs. For example, the plan should include cost-per-mile figures, outyear projections and expected revenues for each of the PMAs. The Administrators should include justification for all fiber optic cable installation activities including the PMAs' specific statutory authority for the activities included in the plan. The plan shall be submitted to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conferees have included the House provision providing \$1,500 for official reception and representation expenses, instead of \$3,000 as provided by the Senate.

SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$39,594,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of no funding as recommended in the budget request or the House bill. The conferees have included a 3,000,000 rescisson instead of the \$5,500,000 rescission included in the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer \$773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$28,773,000, instead of \$28,000,000 and as proposed by the Senate and \$27,167,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer \$773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

conference agreement includes \$193,357,000, instead of \$171,471,000 as provided by the House or \$223,555,000 as provided by the Senate. It is the conferees' intent to fully fund the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) including any necessary purchase power and wheeling costs. However, as the conferees attempted to determine the appropriate level of funding in the absence of an Administration request for such funds, their efforts were frustrated by WAPA's inability to provide basic information such as WAPA's current level of unobligated previously appropriated purchase power and wheeling funds and by uncertainties regarding future requirements caused by potential

or ongoing contract renegotiations. If WAPA later determines that the amount provided is insufficient, the conferees direct the Department to expeditiously submit a reprogramming request.

FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes \$1,309,000, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$174,950,000, the same amount as provided by the House, instead of \$170,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. Section 301 does not preclude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

SEC. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation.

SEC. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the \$24,500,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants authorized under the provisions of section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102-484.

SEC. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress.

SEC. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill.

SEC. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House allowing the Secretary of Energy to enter into multi-year contracts without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. This provides the Department of Energy with the same flexibility provided to the Department of Defense.

SEC. 308. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House pertaining to Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funding. The provision caps funding for LDRD at four percent. Funds provided to the laboratories for programs such as environmental cleanup and

restoration may not be taxed for LDRD purposes.

SEC. 309. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House and Senate limiting to \$150,000,000 the funds available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses. The language also requires the Department of Energy to reimburse contractors for travel consistent with regulations applicable to Federal employees.

SEC. 310. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House requiring the Department of Energy's laboratories to provide an annual funding plan to the Department for approval by the Secretary. This requirement has been expanded to all of the Department's multi-purpose national laboratories.

SEC. 311. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House requiring the Secretary of Energy to review and approve the contract terms of all prime contractors who manage Departmental sites and facilities.

SEC. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House prohibiting the expenditure of funds to establish or maintain independent centers at Department of Energy laboratories or facilities unless they are specifically identified in the budget submission. The Department should provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by November 30, 1999, a list of all such centers at each laboratory or facility, the annual cost, number of employees and the source of funding

employees, and the source of funding. SEC. 313. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and Senate prohibiting the expenditure of funds to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 314. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House limiting the activities of the Federal power marketing administrations in several areas. The conferees have prohibited the use of funds by the Bonneville Power Administration to to perform energy efficiency services outside Bonneville's service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally.

SEC. 315. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision applies to the five material categories addressed in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues on Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site", Table S-2, Notice of Intent Categories.

SEC. 316. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate limiting the inclusion of costs of fish and wildlife protection within rates charged by the Bonneville Power Administration. The Administrator is directed to provide a report to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate which includes assumptions to be used in determining fish and wildlife costs during the 2002–2006 rate period. The report should be provided not later than December 31, 1999.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting the waiving of overhead or added factor charges for work performed for other Federal agencies.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House repealing section 505 of Public Law 102-377, the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, and section 208 of Public Law 99-349, the Urgent Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1986.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds by the Federal power marketing administrations in the area of fiber optic telecommunications.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title $\overline{\text{III}}$ are contained in the following table.

13:35 9/25/99 PAGE

thousands)
(in
Energy
ō
Department

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES		
Solar energy Solar building technology research	5,500	2,000
Photovoltaic energy systems	93,309 2,847	67,000 2,847
Subtotal, Photovoltaic	96,156	69,847
Concentrating solar power	18,850	15,410
Biomass/biofuels energy systems Power systems	38,950 53,441	32,500 39,500
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	92,391	72,000
Biomass/biofuels energy research	26,740	26,740
Subtotal, Biomass	119,131	98,740
Wind energy systems	45,600	33,000 283
Subtotal, Wind	45,883	33,283
Renewable energy production incentive program Solar program support	1,500	1,500 5,000 4,000
National renewable energy laboratorySolar photoconversion energy research	1,100	1,100
Total, Solar Energy	318,380	245,140

R\$GPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands)	gy (in thousar	(spi	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 2
	Budget Request	Conference	
Geothermal Geothermal technology development	29,500	24,000	
Hydrogen research	28,000	25,000 2,970	
Total, Hydrogen	30,970	27,970	
HydropowerRenewable Indian energy resources	7,000	5,000	
Electric energy systems and storage Transmission reliability	4,000 31,000 6,000	3,000 31,910 3,500	
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	41,000	38,410	
Program direction	19,171	17,720	
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES.	446.021	362.240	

R\$GPOC Department of Energy	Energy (in thousands)	(8p	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 3
	Budget Request	Conference	
NUCLEAR ENERGY			
Nuclear energy R&D Advanced radioisotope power system	37,000	34,500	
Test reactor area landlord	6,070	6,070	
Construction 99-E-construction 99-E-construction area electrical utility upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,430	1,430	
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,500	1,500	
Subtotal, Construction	2,930	2,930	
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	9,000	000,6	
University reactor fuel assistance and support Nuclear energy plant optimization	11,345 5,000 25,000	12,000 5,000 22,500 9,000	
Total, Nuclear energy R&D	87,345	92,000	
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)Termination costs	30,000 65,000 41,000	28,000 80,000 43,500	
Isotope support	13,000	13,000	
Construction 99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)	8,000	7,500	. •
Total, Isotope support	21,000	20,500	
Program direction	24,960	24,700	
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	269,305	288,700	

3\$GPOC

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	gy (in thousa	(spu	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 4	
	Budget Request	Conference		
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH				
Environment, safety and health	31,752	20,000 18,998 **********************************		
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	50,750	38,998		
Technical information management program	1,600	1,600		
Total, Technical information management program	9,100	8,600 8,600		
Transfer to OSHA	102,000	0,000		
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	122,912	009'6		
Subtotal, Energy supply	888,988	699,538		
Renewable energy research program	-47,100 -5,821 -1,276	-47,100 -6,000 -5,821 -1,500		
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	834,791	639,117		

nagroc Department of Energy (in thousands)	/ (in thousan	ds)	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 5
	Budget Request	Conference	
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT			
Site closure	211,146	216,946	
Site/project completion	98,366	95,250	
Construction 93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL	2,500	2,500	
Subtotal, Site/project completion	100,866	97,750	
Post 2006 completion	18,922	18,922	
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	330,934	333,618	
Decontamination and decommissioning	210,198 30,000	220,198 30,000	
TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING	240,198	250,198	

RSGPOC

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	/ (in thousan	(sp	13:35	9/25/99 PAGE	ю	
	Budget Request	Conference				
SCIENCE						
High energy physics Research and technology	227,190	229,190				
Facility operations	441,200	450,000				
00-G-307 SLAC office building	2,000	2,000				
99-G-306 Wilson hall safety improvements, Fermilab	4,700	4,700				
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	22,000	22,000				
Subtotal, Construction	28,700	28,700				
Subtotal, Facility operations	469,900	478,700				
Total, High energy physics	697,090	707,890				
Nuclear physics	352,825 411,170	352,000 441,500				
Materials sciences. Chemical sciences. Engineering and geosciences.		405,000 209,582 37,545 31,000				
construction 99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	196,100	100,000				
Total, Basic energy sciences	888,084	783,127				

13:35 9/25/99 PAGE	
 Department of Energy (in thousands)	

	Budget Request	Conference
Other energy research Computational and technology research	196,875	132,000
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support Infrastructure support	1,160	2,160
MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	18,351	18,351
Multiprogram general purpose facilities Construction 94-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL)	749	749
Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	20,260	21,260
Total, Other energy research	218,135	154,260
Fusion energy sciences program	222,614	250,000
Program direction Headquarters	52,360	52,360 78,748
Total, Program direction	52,360	131,108
Subtotal, Science	2,842,278	2,831,685
Contractor travel savings	-10,834	-10,834 -21,000
TOTAL, SCIENCE	2,831,444	2,799,851

Department of Energy	y (in thousands	ds)	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 8
	Budget Request	Conference	
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION			
Administrative operations			
Salaries and expenses			
Utilise of the SecretaryBoard of contract appeals	4 9, 60	4 0 4 60 0 60	
Chief financial officer	23,792	26,000	
	3,200	3,000	
וושר שוושדו	5,046	4,700	
Field management	8,080	000,1	
General coursel	•	20,750	
management and administration Policy office Public affairs	17,430	3,700	
Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	194,906	181,838	
Program account			
Minority economic impact	•	1,700	
Policy analysis and system studies	1,000	350	
Environmental policy studies	-	1,000	
Corporate management information program		12,000	
Subtotal, Program support	18,582	15,500	
Total, Administrative operations	213,488	197,338	
Cost of work for others	34,027	34,027	
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	247,515	231,365	
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments	-7,138	-15,000	
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	240,377	206,365	
Miscellaneous revenues	-115,887	-106,887	
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	123,490	99,478	
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL			
Office of Inspector General	30,000	29,500	

R&GPOC Department of Energ	Energy (in thousands)	(sp	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 9
	Budget Request	Conference	
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES			
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES			
Stockpile stewardship Core stockpile stewardship	1,635,355	1,610,355	
Construction 00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	8,000	8,000	
00-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL Los Alamos, NM	26,000	26,000	
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	1,800	1,800	
99-D-102 Rehabilitation of maintenance facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	3,900	3,900	
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000	
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,400	2,400	
99-D-105 Central health physics cailbration facility, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	1,000	1,000	
99-D-106 Model validation & system certication center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	6,500	6,500	
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways,	7,005	5,000	
97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	61,000	61,000	. •
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	2,640	2,640	
96-D-104 Processing and environmental technology Laboratory (SNL)	10,900	10,900	
Subtotal, Construction	133,145	131,140	
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship	1,768,500	1,741,495	

R\$GPOC Dapartment of Energ	Energy (in thousands)	(sp.	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 10
	Budget Request	Conference	
Inertial fusion	217,600	227,600	
Construction 96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	248,100	248,100	
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	465,700	475,700	
Technology transfer/education Technology transfer	22,200 29,800	14,500 18,600	
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	52,000	33,100	
Total, Stockpile stewardship	2,286,200	2,250,295	
Stockpile management	1,839,621	1,804,621	
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	17,000	17,000	
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	3,429	3,429	
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	11,300	11,300	
98-D-123 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC	21,800	21,800	
98-D-124 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	3,150	3,150	
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	33,000	33,000	
98-D-126 Accelerator production of Tritium, various locations	31,000	36,000	
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	4,800	4,800	
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project (LANL)	18,000	15,000	

8

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	y (in thousar	(spi	13:35 9/	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 11
	Budget Request	Conference		
88-D-123 Security enhancements, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	3,500	3,500		
Subtotal, Construction	158,679	160,679		
Total, Stockpile management	1,998,300	1,965,300		
Transportation safeguards division Operations and equipment	1 1	60,000 31,812		
Total, Transportation safeguards division		91,812		
Program direction	246,500	209,000		
Subtotal, Weapons activities	4,531,000	4,516,407		
Use of prior year balances	-23,065	-7,668 -30,000 -5,000 -29,800		
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	4,507,935	4,443,939		

\$GPOC Department of Energy	Energy (in thousands)	(\$	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 12
	Budget Request	Conference	
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.			
Site/project completion Operation and maintenance	892,629	902,002	
Construction 99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	3,100	3,100	
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation Laboratory (INEL), ID	7,200	5,000	
98-D-401 H-tank farm storm water systems upgrade, Savannah River, SC	2,977	2,977	
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	16,860	16,860	
98-D-700 Road rehabilitation (INEL), ID	2,590	2,590	
97-D-450 Savannah River nuclear material storage, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	4,000	4,000	
97-D-470 Regulatory monitoring and bioassay Laboratory, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	12,220	12,220	
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	24,441	20,941	
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho chemical processing plant (INEL), ID	11,971	11,971	
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	931	931	
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000	
Subtotal, Construction	88,290	82,590	
Total, Site/project completion	980,919	984, 592	

R\$G

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	ly (in thousan	ds)	12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 13
	Budget Request	Conference	
Post 2006 completion Operation and maintenance	2,478,997 420,000	2,511,997 420,000	
Construction 00-D-401 Spent Nuclear Fuel treatment and storage facility Title I & II, Savannah River, SC	7,000	7,000	
99-D-403 Privatization Phase I infrastructure support, Richland, WA	13,988	13,988	
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	20,516	20,516	
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	4,060	4,060	
93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	8,987	8,987	
Subtotal, Construction	54,551	54,551	
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,953,548	2,986,548	
Science and technology	230,500 349,409	230,500 339,409	
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,514,376	4,541,049	
Use of prior year balances/general reduction	-7,725 -8,700	-40,000 -6,000 -8,700 -2,000	
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS	4,497,951	4,484,349	
Closure projects	1,054,492	1,064,492	

14

R\$GPOC Department of Energ	Energy (in thousands)	(spu	12:02 9/26/99
	Budget Request	Conference	
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION			
Privatization initiatives, various locations	253,000 -25,000	233,000 -44,000	
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. PRIVATIZATION	228,000	189,000	
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	5,780,443	5,737,841	
Other national security programs Nonproliferation and national security Verification and control technology Nonproliferation and verification, R&D Construction OO-D-192 Nonproliferation and international	215,000	215,000	
يَه لِا	6,000	6,000	
Arms control	296,000	281,000	
Subtotal, Verification and control technology.	517,000	502,000	
Emergency management	21,000 59,100 30,000 15,750 34,000	21,000 69,100 33,000 15,750 15,000 89,000	
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security	767,300	744,850	
Intelligence	36,059 39,791 65,214 6,800	36,059 39,200 5,000	
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	67,231 24,769	73,231 24,769	
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	92,000	98,000	
Worker and community transition	26, 500 3, 500	21,000	
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	30,000	24,500	

12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 15

thousands)
(in
Energy
of
)epartment
۵

	Request	Conference
Fissile materials disposition	129,766 7,343	134,766 7,343
Construction 00-D-142 Immobilization and associated processing facility, various locations	21,765	-
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, various locations	28,751	18,751
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, various locations	12,375	12,375
Subtotal, Construction	62,891	31,126
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	200,000	173,235
National Security programs administrative support Office of hearings and appeals	3,000	10,000
Subtotal, Other national security programs	1,240,164	1,133,844
Contractor travel savings	-5,790	-13,000
Total, Other national security programs	1,234,374 1,120,844	1,120,844

R\$GPOC

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	(in thousan	(sp	12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 16
	Budget Request	Conference	
Naval reactors Naval reactors development	620,400	633,000	
Construction GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations	9,000	9,000	
98-D-200 Site Laboratory/facility upgrade, various locations	3,000	3,000	
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	12,000	12,000	
Subtotal, Construction	24,000	24,000	
Subtotal, Naval reactors development	644,400	657,000	
Program direction	20,600	20,600	
Total, Naval reactors	665,000	677,600	
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,899,374	1,798,444	
Use of prior year balances. Offset to user organizations. Contribution from labs. Directed savings	-20,000 -12,559 -3,800	-49,000 -20,000 -7,000	
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,863,015	1,722,444	
Defense nuclear waste disposal	112,000	112,000	
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	12,263,393	12,016,224	

12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 17

Department of Energy (in thousands)

C	د	
Ç	>	
С	L	
Č	5	
Ė	P	
•	•	

901		4 0	4 1		355 ± 4	 	13	. 0		22 គឺ ក្	-2:	Q	7.		61	Q.
Conference		11,594	39,594		3,625 833 17,631 6,684	28,773	-773	28,000		26,802 35,096 41,886 104,537 5,036	213,357	-20,000	193,357		1,309	262,260
Budget Request					3,625 17,631 6,684	27,940	-773	27,167		26,802 35,096 104,537 5,036	171,471		171,471		1,309	199,947
	POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	Operation and maintenance Operation and maintenance/program direction	TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	Operation and maintenance Operating expenses Purchase power and wheeling Program direction	Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	Transfer from Southeastern Power	TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation. System operation and maintenance. Purchase power and wheeling. Program direction	Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	Use of prior year balances	TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND	Operation and maintenance	TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	y (in thousan	· (sp	12:02 9	12:02 9/26/99 PAGE	8
	Budget Request	Conference			
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
Federal energy regulatory commissionFERC revenues	179,900	174,950 -174,950			
OMMISSION					
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL					
Repository program	198,189 59,811	180,689 59,811			
Subtotal from Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund	258,000	240,500			
Transfer from defense nuclear waste disposal	(39,000)	† !			
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	258,000	240,500			
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	17,112,197	16,670,746			

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$66,400,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission instead of \$60,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$71,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$1,000,000 is for the Richie County Dam project in West Virginia.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$17,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board instead of \$16,500,000 as proposed by the House or \$17,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House rescinding \$18,000,000 previously appropriated to the Denali Commission.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$465,000,000, instead of \$455,400,000 as recommended by the House or \$465,400,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$19,150,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Commission's ongoing work to characterize Yucca Mountain as a potential site for a permanent nuclear waste repository. The conference agreement also includes \$3,850,000 for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, the same amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$6,000,000 as provided by the House.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,600,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$3,150,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating \$7,000,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority. The conference agreement includes language providing authority for the Tennessee Valley Authority to use up to \$3,000,000 in previously appropriated funds to pay for transition costs of Land Between the Lakes.

TITLE V—RESCISSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate rescinding appropriations for specified projects within the General Investigations and Construction, General account, amended to delete language proposed by the Senate to rescind appropriations from: the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, investigation; the Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, construction project; and the South Central Pennsylvania, Environmental Improvements Program, Pennsylvania, construction project.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes a rescission of \$3,000,000 instead of language proposed by the Senate rescinding \$5,500,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement includes language to rescind \$4,000,000 from the multi-

purpose canister design program in the Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund. This funding was provided in Public Law 105-62, the FY 1998 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

TITLE VI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate directing that none of the funds in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

SEC. 603. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled. "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995 and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.
SEC. 604. The conference agreement in-

SEC. 604. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing a one-year extension of the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to collect fees and charges to offset appropriated funds.

SEC. 605. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House to repeal the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act, as authorized under title VI of division C of Public Law 105-277. This Act was reauthorized in subsequent legislation.

SEC. 606. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House making a technical change to a provision of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 authorizing reimbursement for work by non-Federal interests on certain civil works projects of the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 607. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementing the Kyoto Protocol.

SEC. 608. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.

SEC. 609. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate changing the name of the Cascade Reservoir in Idaho to "Lake Cascade."

SEC. 610. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act by changing an annual cost limitation.

SEC. 611. The conference agreement includes language providing permanent authority for the Corps of Engineers to expend funds for various activities in the Formerly

Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). The Committees on Appropriations have been providing annual authorization for these activities.

Other.—The Senate bill included section 604 prohibiting the restart of the High Flux Beam Reactor. The conference agreement includes this prohibition in Title III, Department of Energy, General Provisions.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2000 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1999 amount, the 2000 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2000 follow:

(In thousands of dollars)

New budget (Obligational)	
authority, fiscal year	
1999	\$22,158,325
Budget estimates of new	
(obligational) authority,	
fiscal year 2000	22,021,026
House bill, fiscal year 2000	20,640,395
Senate bill, fiscal year 2000	21,717,325
Conference agreement, fis-	
cal year 2000	21,729,969
Conference agreement	
compared with:	
New budget	
(obligational) author-	
ity, fiscal year 1999	-428,356
Budget estimates of new	
(obligational) author-	
ity, fiscal year 2000	-291,057
House bill, fiscal year	
2000	+1,089.574
Senate bill, fiscal year	
2000	+12,644
Ron Packard,	,

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P.
FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROY BLUNT,
BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MIKE FORBES,
DAVE OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI, THAD COCHRAN. SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, LARRY E. CRAIG, TED STEVENS, HARRY REID, ROBERT C. BYRD, ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, PATTY MURRAY, HERB KOHL, BYRON L. DORGAN, DANIEL INOUYE, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RE-SEARCH PROGRAM REAUTHOR-IZATION ACT OF 1999

Mrs. KELLY. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2392) to amend the Small Business Act to extend the authorization for the Small Business Innovation Research Program, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2392

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Small Business Innovation Research Program Reauthorization Act of 1999'

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that—

(1) the small business innovation research program established under the Small Business Innovation Development Act of 1982 and reauthorized by the Small Business Research and Development Enhancement Act of 1992 (in this section referred to as the "SBIR program") is highly successful in involving small businesses in federally funded research and development;

(2) the SBIR program made the cost-effective and unique research and development capabilities possessed by the small businesses of this Nation available to Federal agencies and departments:

(3) the innovative goods and services developed by small businesses that participated in the SBIR program have produced innovations of critical importance in a wide variety of hightechnology fields, including biology, medicine, education, and defense;

(4) the SBIR program is a catalyst in the promotion of research and development, the commercialization of innovative technology, the development of new products and services, and the continued excellence of this Nation's high-technology industries: and

(5) the continuation of the SBIR program will provide expanded opportunities for one of the Nation's vital resources, its small businesses, will foster invention, research, and technology, will create jobs, and will increase this Nation's competitiveness in international markets.

SEC. 3. EXTENSION OF SBIR PROGRAM.

Section 9(m) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(m)) is amended to read as follows:

'(m) TERMINATION.—The authorization to carry out the Small Business Innovation Research Program established under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2007.

SEC. 4. ANNUAL REPORT.

Section 9(b)(7) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(b)(7)) is amended by striking "and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives" and inserting ", and to the Committee on Science and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives."

SEC. 5. THIRD PHASE ASSISTANCE.

Section 9(e)(4)(C)(i) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(e)(4)(C)(i)) is amended by striking ; and'' and inserting ''; or''.

SEC. 6. RIGHTS TO DATA.

Section 9(j) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(j)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

'(3) Additional modifications.—Not later than 90 days after the enactment of the Small Business Innovation Research Program Reauthorization Act of 1999, the Administrator shall modify the policy directives issued pursuant to this subsection to clarify that the rights provided for under subparagraph (2)(A) of this subsection apply to all Federal funding awards falling under the definitions of 'first phase' 'second phase', or 'third phase', as specified in subsection (e)(4).''.

SEC. 7. REPORT ON PROGRAMS FOR ANNUAL PER-FORMANCE PLAN.

Section 9(g) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638(g)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (7), by striking "and" at the

(2) in paragraph (8), by striking the period at the end and inserting ''; and''; and (3) by adding at the end the following:

'(9) include, as part of its annual performance plan as required by subsections (a) and (b)

of section 1115 of title 31, United States Code, a section on its SBIR program, and shall submit such section to the Committee on Small Business of the Senate, and the Committee on Science and the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives.".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. KELLY) and the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MILLENDER-McDonALD) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. KELLY).

Mrs. KELLY. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I rise today to ask my colleagues to support H.R. 2392, the Small Business Innovation and Research Program Reauthorization Act of 1999. The Small Business Innovation and Research Program was established in 1982 as a vehicle for helping give small businesses the most dynamic and innovative segment of our economy access to millions of dollars of Federal research and development funds.

The SBIR program operates at every Federal agency with an extramural research budget of more than \$100 million and offers funding to small businesses in three phases: phase one, the initial research and development; phase two, continuing research for the most promising projects; and, phase three, the assistance for moving technologies to the Federal procurement marketplace and to the private sector.

The result has been an unqualified success. Small businesses given access to these Federal dollars have created exciting new technologies, created new jobs along with them, and helped expand their business and our economy.

Let me give my colleagues just one example. PCA, Incorporated, a small company in New York, has developed, through the SBIR program, new quality-assurance software that is being used in almost every system at the Department of Defense. This innovative software allows our armed forces to debug the software and check the metrics in every software system they have from the on-board systems in an F-16 fighter to the navigation systems in all of the Navy's attack submarines, new technology that will enable the Navy to protect our country.

That is the SBIR program, harnessing the entrepreneurial spirit and technological skill of small business and putting it to work in defense, medicine, and commerce.

Let me briefly describe the provisions of H.R. 2392. It has 10 provisions, not including the short title. Section 2 of H.R. 2392 expresses the sense of Congress regarding the overwhelming success of the SBIR program.

Section 3 will authorize the SBIR program for 7 years.

Section 4 includes the Committee on Science in certain reporting requirements regarding the SBIR program.

Section 5 clarifies the funding requirements for third-phase participation in the SBIR program.

Section 6 requires the SBA to clarify, through policy directives, the rights and technical data that are granted to SBIR awardees.

Section 7 requires that agencies participating in SBIR include the program in their annual performance plans.

Sections 8 through 11 are new provisions, added with the bipartisan cooperation and assistance of our colleagues at the Committee on Science.

Section 8 provides for the creation of a database to compile information on the project's funding through the SBIR program. It also contains technical corrections to improve the data collection currently required by the program.

Section 9 authorizes the SBA to issue new policy directives to SBIR program managers at the various Federal agencies. These new directives would allow them to increase under certain situations the funding levels provided to small businesses in phase 2 of SBIR.

Section 10 will require SBIR to phase 2 award winners to file a commercial plan detailing their marketing strategies and plans for the new technologies they are developing.

Finally, section 11 of H.R. 2392 will authorize the National Research Council, in consultation with the SBA Office of Advocacy and other interested parties, to conduct a comprehensive study of the SBIR program.

Mr. Speaker, these are all simple, common sense improvements to a successful program with strong congressional support. This support is exemplified by H.R. 2392's 7-year reauthorization, which is a serious commitment to this program.

The Committee on Small Business believes that this extended authorization will allow SBIR program managers to plan for future years' activities without concern over the status of the program.

In closing, let me urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 2392 and the SBIR program. This is an outstanding program which enables small businesses to contribute to our economy, health, and national defense. It deserves our continued support and this reauthorization.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

MILLENDER-McDONALD. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, today we will be considering H.R. 2392, the Small Business Innovation Research Act of 1999, SBIR. One of the most important jobs for us serving on the Committee on Small Business is to provide small businesses with every opportunity to succeed. This bipartisan piece of legislation does just that. It levels the playing field for small businesses engaging in research and development, providing them with the tools they need to succeed in today's technologically intensive market.

America is currently experiencing one of the longest periods of economic